Site No. Site 051
Address Manufacturing Site & Turnbrook, Fowlmere
Location Fowlmere
Category of site Group Village
Site area 2.22

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|--|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | | The majority of the site is previously developed land comprising a variety of industrial and commercial buildings and hardstanding |
| Land | Minimise the irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | efficiently? Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | 0 | Development would not affect best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2). |
| l & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| ⊳ | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | +++ | This site has a history of noisy activities related to industrial / commercial uses. Residential development would have a positive impact and would result in significant improvements in the local noise climate and the living environment of existing residential premises. Development would remove existing use that creates nuisance, resulting in significant benefits. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | + | Potential for minor benefits through remediation of minor contamination. Site currently in industrial use. |
| I Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| | and distinctiveness of | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | + | Minor Positive Impact (Development would relate to local landscape character and offer opportunities for landscape enhancement) - current industrial use project out from the village into the open countryside and are from some aspects of the village screened by trees and if these buildings and parking areas were replaced with residential through good design the impact of this housing development could be mitigated. |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| Landscap | landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | 0 | Neutral impact (generally compatible, or capable of being made compatible with local townscape character). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | efficiency? Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | ŀ | Flood Zone 3 (or other form of flood risk incapable of appropriate mitigation) - half of site is in flood zone 3 |
| Φ | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| - | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |
|--|--|--|-----|---|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the | | |
| | | housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople? | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Com | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| nmur | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | +++ | 311m ACF to The Chequers Inn Pub - location chosen as representation of |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involv | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Distance to centre Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| equalities and | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| nd involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| nmunity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | | Development would have significant negative effect on employment opportunities, as a result of the loss of existing employment land. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|---|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | 0 | 40.48 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. No employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is at capacity and will require mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed |

| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | 0 | Total score of 12. |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 199m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | | Less than hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | - | 45-55 Minutes from Fowlmere to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 8.65km ACF from the centre of the site to Royston Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | 0 | No capacity constraints identified, safe access can be achieved. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 052
Address 72 and 64A West Drive, Highfields Caldecote
Location Caldecote
Category of site Group Village
Site area 4.74

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|---|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | 0 | A small portion of the site is previously developed land as the site includes a dwelling. |
| Land | Minimise the irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | efficiently? Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | 0 | Development would not affect the best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2). |
| 3 & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| ⊳ | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | /- | Significant negative impacts to or as a result of the development that are incapable of mitigation. The site is close to industrial units and offsite mitigation is likely to be required. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0/+ | The site would require investigation due to its agricultural and military use. Potential for benefits through remediation of any contamination. |
| al Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Development unlikely to effect water quality. Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will be achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). The site is approximately 260 metres from the Bucket Hill Plantation CWS. |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| | | Maril 1 | | At |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | - | Minor Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - All nearby development has been built over recent years and is of a suburban nature. Development of the site would impact on the character of the surrounding countryside. |
| Landsca | landscape and | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | 0 | Neutral impact (generally compatible, or capable of being made compatible with local townscape character). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| ye . | | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | efficiency? Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. There are no suitable surface water drainage facilities, however this could be addressed through the provision of a new drain. |
| U | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| Ħ | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing | | |
| | housing | population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople? | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Cc | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| nmur | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | + | 420m ACF to Main Street which is surrounded by a cluster of services and |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involving the community | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Distance to centre Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| nmunity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|-----|--|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 28.62 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. Only 1 employment area with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | +/- | Major utilities infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is no spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone. The WwTW is operating at capacity and will require new consent limits and major capital expenditure to accommodate development of this site. The sewerage network is at capacity and a developer impact assessment will be required to ascertain the required upgrades necessary. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed. |

| | | | | , |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | + | Total score of 16. |
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | - | 869m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop (Citi 4). 315m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop (14 Service). |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | + | 20 minute service (Citi 4). Less than hourly service (14 Service). |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | +++ | 20 minutes from Caldecote to Cambridge (Citi 4). 54 Minutes from Caldecote to Cambridge (14 Service). |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 9.90km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | - | Minor negative effects incapable of mitigation. The access link to the public highway is unsuitable to serve the number of units that are being proposed and there are concerns in relation to the provision of suitable inter vehicle visibility splays for this site. Development would have a direct impact on A428 with potential capacity issues at the Cambourne Junction and on the corridor between Cambridge and St. Neots / Bedford, particularly junctions at either end of this section. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 053
Address Mill Lane, Impington
Location Histon & Impington
Category of site Rural Centre
Site area 1.35

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|--|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | 0 | Most of the site is not previously developed land although the site includes one residential property. |
| Land | Minimise the irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | efficiently? Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | 0 | Development would not affect best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2). |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| Þ | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | 0 | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some minor to moderate additional road traffic noise generation on existing residential due to development related car movements but dependent on location of site entrance. |
| nvironment | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated. |
| al Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| | | | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, |
|--|---|--|-----|---|
| Laı | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | / - | minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The site is in an area characterised as fragmented linear and close development, surrounded by enclosed farmland and paddocks, which create a transition between village edge and open fields. Loss of a significant and distinctive long orchard plots within a group of contemporary C19 terraces, probably part of the significant late C19 extension of the village for Chivers Jam production. Development of this site would lose the soft village edge, pastoral and rural in character, between the built development and larger agricultural landscape, in an area of prominent landscape. |
| ndscape, Tov | | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | - | Minor Negative Impact (development conflicts with townscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The character of this part of the village is linear, with long rear gardens. Development of this site would create a large area of residential development in a cul-de-sac, which would alter the character of this largely ribbon settlement. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | / - | Significant Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) - The site forms an important part of the setting of the Conservation Area and C19 heritage assets. Development would result in the loss of a significant C19 building (heritage asset) and distinctive long orchard plots within a group of contemporary C19 terraces, probably part of the significant late C19 extension of the village for Chivers Jam production. Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | efficiency? Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Most of the site is Flood Zone 1 and the southern-most part of the site is within flood zones 2 and 3. There are no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| W . | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| ĬŤ | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |
| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |

| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| | | of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople? | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Con | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | + | Rural Centre |
| nmur | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | + | 521m ACF to a point on High Street (Histon) which is surrounded by a large number of services and facilities. |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involving the community | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Distance to centre Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| qualities an | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| nd involving the com | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|-----|---|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | +++ | 10.20 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 6 employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is approaching capacity and will require investigation and possibly mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | + | Insufficient spare school capacity but potential for improvement to meet needs. Insufficient secondary school capacity. |

| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | +++ | Total score of 20. |
|-----------|--|---|-----|---|
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 302m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | + | 20 Minute Service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | +++ | 17 Minutes from Impington to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 5.31km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | - | Minor negative effects incapable of mitigation. Access constraints - the Highway Authority has concerns in relationship to the provision of suitable inter vehicle visibility splays for this site. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 054
Address Land at the rear of 335 High Street, Cottenham Location Cottenham
Category of site Minor Rural Centre
Site area 10

| Them e | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|---|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| | Minimise the | Will it use land efficiently? | | |
| Land | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site but all Grade 1. |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | - | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some minor to moderate additional road traffic noise generation impact on existing residential due to development related car movements but dependent on location of site entrance. The northern part of the site will be immediately adjacent to Cottenham Village College & Sports Grounds and such short distance separation between recreation and residential is unlikely to be in accordance with SCDCs Open Space SPD. Minor to moderate noise related issues from recreation uses but noise not quantified and could be mitigated off site if it is an issue by s106 but requires full cooperation of College. |
| mental Poll | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated |
| ution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). Beach Ditch and Engine Drain County Wildlife Site lies approximately 400m to the south. |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Maintain and enhance the diversity | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | · | Minor Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The site is within the Green Belt, adjoining a housing development that forms a firm yet fairly harsh edge. This is a large site in a prominent location, jutting out into the countryside, which surrounds the site on all sides. This would alter the current rural character and setting of the village and adversely impact on the openness of the Green Belt in this location. |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| | and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | | - | Significant Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) - The site is adjacent to the Cottenham Conservation Area and Listed Buildings. Development would have a major adverse effect due to position and depth of development and loss of significant green rural backdrop providing a good significant sense of enclosure. This is a large site in a prominent location, jutting out into the countryside, which surrounds the site on all sides, which is poorly related to the built form of the village in a historically sensitive location. |
| | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | | Significant Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) - The site is adjacent to the Cottenham Conservation Area. Development would have a major adverse effect due to position and depth of development and loss of significant green rural backdrop and Heritage Asset (C19 building) providing a good significant sense of enclosure. There are three Grade II Listed Buildings adjacent to the northern boundary of the site (1, 2 & 3 Elm Barns), and several Grade II Listed Buildings along High Street (331, 333, 337 & 339 High Street) and development would have a major adverse effect on their setting due to the loss of significant green rural backdrop. Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | efficiency? | | |
| | | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| U | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| 5 | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |
| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | + | Development would create minor opportunities for new public open space as the promoter proposes recreation provision as part of the development. |

| | | Will it support the | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|--|
| l n | | provision of a range of | | |
| l K | | housing types and | | |
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | | sizes, including | | |
| ő | | affordable and key | | |
| on on | | worker housing, to | | |
| Ħ | | meet the identified | | |
| 5. | Ensure all groups | needs of all sectors of | | |
| ti eg | have access to | the community? | | |
| 8 | decent, appropriate | Will it provide for | | |
| - - | and affordable | housing for the ageing | | |
| S | housing | population? | | |
| ing | | Will it provide for the | | |
| | | housing | | |
| | | accommodation needs | | |
| | | of Gypsies and | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| | | Travellers and | | |
| | | Travelling | | |
| | | Showpeople? | | |
| | | Will it improve | | |
| | | accessibility to key | | |
| | | local services and | 0 | Minor Rural Centre |
| Inc | | facilities, including | | |
| l iii | | health, education and | | IMINOT Rural Centre |
| Sive | | leisure (shops, post | | |
| Ö | | offices, pubs, sports | | |
| 유 | Improve the quality, | facilities etc?) | | |
|] 3 | range and | Sub-Indicator: | | 964m from the centre of the site to a point along the High Street / B1049. |
| 1 ₹ | accessibility of | Distance to centre | - | Services and facilities run a long way along Cottenham High Street |
| ies | services and facilities | Will it improve quality | | |
| 1 - | (e.g. health, | and range of key local | | |
| l 20 | transport, education, | services and facilities | | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the |
| dre | training, leisure | including health, | | development. |
| SS. | opportunities) | education and leisure | | development. |
| ng | | (shops, post offices, | | |
| Ĭ, | | pubs etc?) | | |
| lp | | Will improve relations | | |
| <u>a</u> | | between people from | | |
| lieg | | different backgrounds | | |
| <u>a</u> | | or social groups? | | |
| JG : | Destares 5 200 | Will it redress | | |
| l iv | Redress inequalities | inequalities? | | |
| 우 | related to age, | Will it increase the | | |
| ing | disability, gender | ability of people to | | |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involving the community | assignment race, | influence decisions, | | |
| | faith, location and | including 'hard to | | |
| | income | reach' groups? | | |
| Ĭ | Encourage and | 3p | | |
| S. | enable the active | Will it encourage | | N. 7 1900 1 |
| ₹ | involvement of local | engagement with | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the |
| | people in community | community activities? | | development. |
| | activities | , | | |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|---|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 22.16 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. Only 1 employment area with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. Electricity is likely to require reinforcement. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. Gas is likely to require reinforcement. The sewerage network is approaching capacity and will require investigation and possibly mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | + | Insufficient spare school capacity but potential for improvement to meet needs. Insufficient secondary school capacity. |

| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | + | Total score of 15. |
|-----------|--|---|---|---|
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | + | 466m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop (Citi 8). |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | + | 20 Minute Service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | 0 | 37 Minutes from Cottenham to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 8.21km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | | The site does not appear to have a direct link to the adopted public highway. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 055
Address Land at Whitecroft Road, Meldreth
Location Meldreth
Category of site Group Village
Site area 0.98

| Them e | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|---|
| | Minimise the | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land efficiently? | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| Land | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - Grade 2. |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| D | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | | Development for residential would have significant negative impacts from neighbouring industrial / commercial uses incapable of adequate mitigation. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | + | Potential for minor benefits through remediation of minor contamination. Northern part of site in former industrial use. Noise from activities, refrigeration plant and vehicular movements arising from the Cam Valley Orchards to the southern boundary of the site. |
| l Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Development unlikely to affect water quality. Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process and will mitigate any impact on groundwater. |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| | Maintain and enhance the diversity | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | - | Minor Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation. Development of this site would have an adverse effect on the landscape character of the village. The tree cover on this site together with the enclosed fields to the south provide a soft transition to the surrounding arable fields. Development would reduce the tree cover on the site cause the loss of single depth development characteristic of this part of the village. The impact of this could be partly mitigated by a low-density scheme which sought to retain most of the trees and hedgerows on the site. |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| Landscape, Townso | and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | - | Minor Negative Impact (Development conflicts with townscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation. Development of this site would have an adverse effect on the townscape character of the village. The tree cover on this site together with the enclosed fields to the south provide a soft transition to the surrounding arable fields. Development would reduce the tree cover on the site cause the loss of single depth development characteristic of this part of the village. The impact of this could be partly mitigated by a low-density scheme which sought to retain most of the trees and hedgerows on the site. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | - | Minor Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) – site forms part of the setting of Listed Buildings. Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimination | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it promote energy efficiency? | | |
| | | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. Very small part of site in zone 3. |
| | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| alth | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? | | |
| ű | | Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople? | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Com | Improve the quality, range and accessibility of services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| nmur | | Sub-Indicator: | + | 527m from the centre of the site to the village hall which is surrounded by a |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involv | | Distance to centre Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| equalities and | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| nd involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| nmunity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|-----|--|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | +++ | 11.77 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 3 employment areas with 2000+ employees can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | - | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The WWTW is operating close to capacity and the sewerage network is at capacity and both will require mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed. |

| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | + | Total score of 18. |
|-----------|--|---|-----|---|
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 269m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. 358m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest train station. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | - | Bus - less than hourly service. Train - hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | +++ | Bus - 15 minutes from Meldreth to Royston. Train - 4 minutes from Meldreth to Royston. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 5.30km ACF from the centre of the site to Royston Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | 0 | No capacity constraints identified, safe access can be achieved. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact |

Site No. Site 056
Address Land west of Strympole Way, Highfields Caldecote
Location Caldecote
Category of site Group Village
Site area 7.67

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|---|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| | Minimise the | Will it use land | | |
| Land | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | efficiently? Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | 0 | Development would not affect the best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2). |
| d & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| Þ | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | 0/- | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some minor to moderate additional road traffic noise generation on existing residential due to development related car movements but dependent on location of site entrance and some low level noise impact from Bourn Airfield. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated. |
| l Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Development unlikely to affect water quality. Assumptions for neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will be achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0/- | Minor negative impact on protected sites and species. The site is adjacent to the Caldecote Meadows SSSI. |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| | | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | - | Minor negative impact (development conflicts with landscape character, with minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - development of the site would result in the encroachment of the built area into the open countryside. |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| Landsc | and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | 0 | Neutral impact (generally compatible, or capable of being made compatible with local townscape character). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| ge | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | efficiency? Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| Φ | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| álth | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| | | Showpeople? Will it improve | | |
| Inclusive Con | Improve the quality, | accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| nmur | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | + | 545m ACF to Main Street which is surrounded by a cluster of services and |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involv | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| qualities an | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| and involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|---|-----|--|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | 0 | N/A minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. No employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | +/- | Major utilities infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is no spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone. The WwTW is operating at capacity and will require new consent limits and major capital expenditure to accommodate development of this site. The sewerage network is at capacity and a developer impact assessment will be required to ascertain the required upgrades necessary. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed. |

| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | 0 | Total score of 13. |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | | 1,650m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop (Citi 4). 481m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop (14 Service). |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | + | 20 Minute service (Citi 4). Less than hourly service (14 Service). |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | +++ | 20 Mintues from Calcedote to Cambridge (Citi 4). 54 Minutes from Caldecote to Cambridge (14 Service). |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | 0 | 10.22km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | - | Minor negative effects incapable of mitigation. Safe access to the highway can be achieved, however development could have an impact on A428 with potential capacity issues at the Cambourne Junction and on the corridor between Cambridge and St. Neots / Bedford, particularly junctions at either end of this section. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 057
Address Bourn Airfield, Bourn
Location countryside
Category of site New Settlement
Site area 141.7

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|---|---|-------|---|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | + | The site includes the runways and some aircraft hangers, industrial buildings and outside storage areas. The rest of the site is in agricultural use and therefore not pdl. Approx third of site pdl. |
| Land | Minimise the irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | efficiently? Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | | Significant loss (20 hectares or more) of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - Majority of very large site is Grade 2. |
| d & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | - | Development could impact on air quality, with minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation. Despite this proposal not being adjacent to an Air Quality |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | /- ? | Development compatible with some neighbouring uses. Concerns at noise from adjoining industrial units to the east of the site which impact on nearby residential areas. Need to create buffer zone to mitigate noise. Also concerns at impact of noise from A428 which adjoins northern boundary of site. Environmental Health objecting to site - noise and odour constraints must be further investigated Some minor to moderate additional road traffic noise generation impact on existing residential due to development related car movements could be mitigated. |
| onmental F | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | + | This site is previously military land/airfield and may have contaminated land. It will require investigation. Potential for minor benefits through remediation of minor contamination. |
| ollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. Greatest impact likely to be as a result of loosing grassland habitats currently found within the airfield strips. Great crested newts are known to be in the vicinity and may also be adversely affected. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| | • | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | 0 | Neutral impact (generally compatible, or capable of being made compatible with local landscape character). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |
|--|---|--|-------|--|
| Landsc | and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | 0 | Neutral impact (generally compatible, or capable of being made compatible with local townscape character). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | 0/- | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Setting of listed buildings to west and south west of site would be adversely affected by development. Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| ige | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy efficiency? | +/+++ | Development would create minor additional opportunities for renewable energy. A new settlement of this scale would be expected to include many additional renewable energy options |
| | | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| U | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| He | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health | | |
| Health | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | inequalities? Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | + | Development would create minor opportunities for new public open space |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| | | Travelling Showpeople? | | |
| Inclusive Co | | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | + | New settlement therefore would expect to be self sufficient and sustainable. |
| mmuniti | Improve the quality, range and accessibility of services and facilities | Sub-Indicator: Distance to centre | 0 | Centre point of site beyond 1000m of nearest existing centre Majority of site would be within 800m of any new local centre developed as part of site. |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and invol | (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | + | New facilities or improved existing facilities are proposed of minor benefit. Promoter has indicated that the settlement will be a mixed use sustainable community. |
| lualities and | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| nd involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| nunity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | + | New local community / village hall or improved existing facility is proposed of minor benefit (and is viable and sustainable). The promoter has indicated that the new settlement will be self sufficient and sustainable. |

| Economic Activity | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | + | Development would support minor additional employment opportunities. It is proposed that the new setllement be a mixed use community therefore this would mitigate the loss of employment as a result of developing the airfield site. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|---|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The new settlement is proposed as being a self sufficient sustainable community. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | work appropriate to their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | Existing - 30.76 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. No employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. Proposed - Site would develop business / employment as part of development. Therefore it is assumed that employments areas of 2000+ will be a accessed between 15 and 30 minutes (could be less than 15 minutes +++ towards end of development). |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | - | Major utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The sewage network is at capacity. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues be adequately addressed |

| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | 0 | Total score of 13. |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | 0 | New settlement would require new bus stops which would mostly fall within 800m of the site. 820m ACF from the centre of the site to nearest bus stop (Citi 4). |
| Transport | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | + | 20 minute service. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | 0 | ~33 minutes from bus stop to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | 0 | 10.81km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | 0/- | No capacity constraints identified, safe access can be achieved. The promoter has stated that the transport strategy will include innovatory public transport proposals. Highway Authority has highlighted A1303 Madingley Road corridor into Cambridge has capacity problems (especially at M11 Junction 13). Also Park and Ride at Madingley Road capacity may need upgrading. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | + | The Highway Authority will require new development to provide or contribute to the provision of infrastructure to encourage more sustainable transport links both on and off site. Provision or contribution from this site would result in minor improvement to public transport, walking or cycling facilities. |

Site No. Site 058
Address Land South of 77 Station Road, Willingham
Location Willingham
Category of site Minor Rural Centre
Site area 0.41

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|--|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| Land & | Minimise the irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | efficiently? Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site but all Grade 1. |
| d & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | A very small part of the site within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF but development would not have a negative impact. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | - | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some minor to moderate additional road traffic noise generation impact on existing residential due to development related car movements but dependent on location of site entrance. |
| nvironment | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated |
| al Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| Lands | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | 0 | Neutral impact (generally compatible, or capable of being made compatible with local landscape character). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. Minor Negative Impact (development conflicts with townscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - Development of this site would create an area of backland development within existing ribbon development south of the village. It would have a detrimental impact on the linear and rural character of this |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| ige | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | efficiency? Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | | Flood Zone 3 (or other form of flood risk incapable of appropriate mitigation) - the majority of the site is within Flood Zone 3, leaving only a very narrow strip of land along the road frontage. |
| Φ | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| # | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | ı | ı | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Con | Improve the quality, | Showpeople? Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | 0 | Minor Rural Centre |
| nmun | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: Distance to centre | - | 868m ACF to the High Street - location chosen as representation of central point of these services and facilities. |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involv | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| qualities ar | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| and involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|-----|---|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 19.06 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 5 employment areas with 2000+ employees can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | +++ | Development can use existing capacity in utilities infrastructure. However, the sewerage network is approaching capacity and will require investigation and possibly mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | Insufficient spare school capacity but potential for improvement to meet needs. Insufficient secondary school capacity. |

| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | + | Total score of 15. |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 180m ACF from the centre of the site to nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | - | Hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | 0 | Citi 5 Bus service takes 41 minutes from Willingham to Cambridge. Citi 5 Bus service takes 38 minutes from Willingham to St. Ives. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 8.77km ACF from the centre of the site to St. Ives Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | / - | Insufficient capacity or access constraints that cannot be adequately mitigated. The Highways Agency comment that this site is in an area heavily reliant on the A14 for strategic access. It is difficult to see more than a small proportion of these sites being deliverable prior to major improvements to the A14, and even this could require substantial mitigation measures. The HIghway Authority have concerns in relationship to the provision of suitable inter vehicle visibility splay for this site |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 059
Address North End & Elbourne Way, Bassingbourn
Location Bassingbourn
Category of site Group Village
Site area 2.8

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|---|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| | Minimise the | efficiently? | | |
| Land | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site but all Grade 2. |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| Þ | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | 0/- | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some minor to moderate additional road traffic noise generation on existing residential due to development related car movements but dependent on location of site entrance. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0/+ | The site would require investigation due to it being military land. Potential for benefits through remediation of any contamination. |
| l Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Development unlikely to effect water quality. Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will be achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | -/ | Minor negative impact (development conflicts with landscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - development of the site would result in the encroachment of built development into the enclosed fields that form a soft edge to the village and provide a rural setting for the listed buildings and conservation area, and would also change the rural character of this wooded and enclosed area of the village. |
|--|---|---|-----|---|
| | | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | - | Minor negative impact (development conflicts with townscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - development of this site would be contrary to the pattern of single depth development in the historic core of this part of village. |
| | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | | Significant negative impact on historic assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) - development of the site is likely to have a significant adverse impact on the settings of the listed buildings and the Conservation Area. Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | efficiency? Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0/- | The majority of the site is Flood Zone 1. There are small areas of Flood Zones 2 and 3 along parts of the northern and southern boundaries, and also running north-south across the centre of the site. |
| Ф | | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| 5 | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |
|---|--|---|-----|---|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travellers | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Cor | Improve the quality, | Showpeople? Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| nmun | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | +++ | 229m ACF to the village pharmacy - location chosen as representation of central point of a cluster services and facilities. |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involvi | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| gualities an | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| and involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 20.42 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 2 employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor utilities infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare capacity within the distribution zone to supply the total number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites with the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is approaching capacity. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed. |

| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | + | Total score of 18. |
|-----------|--|---|-----|---|
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 166m ACF from the centre of the site to nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | | Less than hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | +++ | Bus service takes 19 minutes to get to Royston centre. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | +++ | 4.37km ACF from the centre of the site to Royston Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | - | Minor negative effects incapable of mitigation. Access constraints - the proposed site does not appear to have a direct link to the adopted public highway. The promoter has indicated that access could be obtained. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 062
Address Land west of Rectory Farm, Middle Street, Thriplow Location Thriplow
Category of site Group Village
Site area 2.44

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|--|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| | Minimise the | efficiently? | | |
| Land | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site but all Grade 2. |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | - | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some minor to moderate additional road traffic noise generation impact on existing residential due to development related car movements but dependent on location of site entrance |
| Environmen | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated. May need to assessed since agricultural use. |
| tal Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Development unlikely to affect water quality. The site within Groundwater Source Protection Zone 3 which does not rule out development but may influence land use or require pollution control measures. Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process and will mitigate any impact on groundwater, |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| | Maintain and enhance the diversity | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - Would result in loss of a significant area of open countryside within the core of the historic village. |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| Landsc | and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with townscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - would alter the existing character of the built form of the village which is linear with interspersed open space. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | | Significant Negative Impact on Historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) - site forms an important part of the setting of the numerous listed buildings, Conservation Area, and the historic core of the village due to loss of rural backdrop and countryside settings to listed buildings along Lower Street and Middle Street. Archaeological potential will require further information but it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| ige | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy efficiency? | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| Φ | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| 5 | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |
|--|--|---|-----|--|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travellers | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Cor | Improve the quality, | Showpeople? Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| nmun | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | +++ | 272m ACF to the village hall which is central to the surrounding services and facilities within the village. |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involv | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| qualities an | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| and involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|---|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | 0 | 38.47 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. No employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is at capacity and will require mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed |

| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | 0 | Total score of 12. |
|-----------|--|---|-----|---|
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 262m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | | Less than hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | - | 45 Minutes from Thriplow to St. Ives. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 9.95km ACF from the centre of the site to Royston Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | | Insufficient capacity or access constraints that cannot be adequately mitigated. Highway Authority has identified that proposed site does not appear to have a direct link to the adopted public highway. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact |

Site No. Site 063
Address Land east of Farm Lane, Thriplow Location Thriplow
Category of site Group Village
Site area 4.39

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|--|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| | Minimise the | efficiently? | | |
| Land | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site but all Grade 2. |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | - | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some minor to moderate additional road traffic noise generation impact on existing residential due to development related car movements but dependent on location of site entrance |
| Environmen | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated. May need to assessed since agricultural use. |
| tal Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Development unlikely to affect water quality. The site within Groundwater Source Protection Zone 3 which does not rule out development but may influence land use or require pollution control measures. Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process and will mitigate any impact on groundwater, |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| | | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - Would result in loss of a |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| | - | and distinctiveness of landscape character? | | significant regative impacts incapable of mitigation) - would result in loss of a significant area of open countryside within the core of the historic village. |
| Landsc | and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with townscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - would alter the existing character of the built form of the village which is linear with interspersed open space. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | | Significant Negative Impact on Historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) - site forms an important part of the setting of the numerous listed buildings, Conservation Area, and the historic core of the village due to loss of rural backdrop and countryside settings to listed buildings along Lower Street and Middle Street. Archaeological potential will require further information but it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| ge | | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy efficiency? | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| 5 | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |
|--|--|---|-----|--|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travellers | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Co | Improve the quality, | Showpeople? Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| nmun | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | +++ | 368m ACF to the village hall which is central to the surrounding services and facilities within the village. |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involv | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| qualities an | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| nd involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| Economic Activity | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|---|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | 0 | 40.29 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. No employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is at capacity and will require mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed |

| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | 0 | Total score of 12. |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 340m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | | Less than hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | - | 45 Minutes from Thriplow to St. Ives. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 9.86km ACF from the centre of the site to Royston Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | 0 | No capacity constraints identified, safe access can be achieved. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact |

Site No. Site 064
Address Land behind Ridgeleys Farm House, Fen Drayton
Location Fen Drayton
Category of site Group Village
Site area 0.75

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|---|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| Land | Minimise the irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | efficiently? Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site but all Grade 2. |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | The site is within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF but development would not have a negative impact. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| A | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | 0/- | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some possible noise from the A14 which should be possible to mitigate. Some possible noise and odour from nearby farms. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | +/0 | The site would require investigation due to its existing agricultural uses. Potential for minor benefits through remediation of minor contamination. |
| al Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Development unlikely to effect water quality. Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will be achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | - | Minor negative impact (development conflicts with landscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - development of the site would result in the encroachment of the built area into the enclosed farmland that provides a transition between the village and its surroundings. |
|--|---|--|-----|---|
| Landsc | landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | - | Minor negative impact (development conflicts with townscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - development of this site would change the historic and low density character of this area of the village. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | 0/- | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). The site forms part of the setting of several listed buildings and the Conservation Area. Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| ge | | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy efficiency? | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0/- | The majority of the site is Flood Zone 1. There is a small area of Environment Agency Flood Zone 2 in the north east corner of the site. |
| | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| ; ; | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |
|--|--|---|-----|--|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople? | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Con | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| nmun | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | +++ | 366m ACF to a point on Cootes Lane which is central to the surrounding services and facilities within the village. |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involv | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| qualities an | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| nd involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| | | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|--|--|---|--|
| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 21.22 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 2 employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places. | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor utilities infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare capacity within the distribution zone to supply the total number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites with the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is approaching capacity. |
| | places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed. |

| _ | | | | , |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | +++ | Total score of 20.One kilometre from Guided Bus stop but on largely unsurfaced and unlit path so could impact on the use of this form of public transport. |
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 250m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | - | Hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | +++ | 15 Minutes from Fen Drayton to St. Ives. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | +++ | 3.81km ACF from the centre of the site to St. Ives Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | 0/- | Minor negative effects incapable of mitigation. Access constraints - the proposed site does not appear to have a direct link to the adopted public highway, however the site appears to have an access to the High Street. Capacity - given the capacity constraints on the A14, it would be difficult to see more than a quarter of the identified housing development being deliverable. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 065
Address Land abutting Fen Drayton Road, Swavesey
Location Swavesey
Category of site Group Village
Site area 7.22

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|--|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| | NAIminain a 4h a | Will it use land | | |
| Land | Minimise the irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | efficiently? Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | 0 | Development would not affect best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2). |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | 0 | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some potential for traffic noise from A14, but should be possible to mitigate. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated |
| l Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. A bridleway lies approximately 480m to the west. |
| | Maintain and | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - Owing to the slightly sloping land any new development would be at a higher level than the existing village edge and probably more visible. The site is very open and rural in character and development on this site would be very large scale and harmful to the character of the village. It would constitute substantial back land development, poorly related to the existing built-up part of the village. |

| Landscape, Townsc | and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | - | Minor Negative Impact (development conflicts with townscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The site is very open and rural in character and development on this site would be very large scale and harmful to the character of the village. It would constitute substantial back land development, poorly related to the existing built-up part of the village. It would result in a large scale westwards expansion of the village along School Lane, having a significant impact on the approach to the village. A previous attempt to gain planning permission for residential development has also been unsuccessful as it would adversely change its character. A PVAA lies approximately 105m to the south east. |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | efficiency? Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| ilth | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |
| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). Assumption is standard requirements for open space would apply. |

| | | Will it support the | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|
| l Z | | provision of a range of | | |
| l č | | housing types and | | |
| <u>₹</u> . | | sizes, including | | |
| 9 | | affordable and key | | |
| è | | worker housing, to | | |
| <u> </u> | | meet the identified | | |
| Ē | Ensure all groups | needs of all sectors of | | |
| E: | have access to | the community? | | |
| Š | decent, appropriate | Will it provide for | | |
| Ī | and affordable | housing for the ageing | | |
|) Sug | housing | population? | | |
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | | Will it provide for the | | |
| g | | housing | | |
| | | accommodation needs | | |
| | | of Gypsies and | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| | | Travellers and | O | The effect of pilet provision. |
| | | Travelling | | |
| | | Showpeople? | | |
| | | Will it improve | | |
| | Improve the quality, | accessibility to key | | |
| | | local services and | | |
| 5 | | facilities, including | - | |
| Clu | | health, education and | | Group Village |
| <u>s</u> . | | leisure (shops, post | | |
| e | | offices, pubs, sports | | |
| οg | | facilities etc?) | | |
| # | range and | Sub-Indicator: | | 738m ACF to The White Horse pub which is surrounded by a cluster of other |
| Ē | accessibility of | Distance to centre | 0 | services and facilities within the village. |
| itie | services and facilities | Will it improve quality | | SOLVIOUS AND INCIDIO VIII AND |
| o . | (e.g. health, | and range of key local | | |
| ₽, | transport, education, | services and facilities | | |
| <u>d</u> | training, leisure | including health, | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the |
| ess | opportunities) | education and leisure | O | development. |
| ≌ | | (shops, post offices, | | |
| g : | | pubs etc?) | | |
| neq | | . , | | |
| ua | | Will improve relations | | |
| E: | | between people from | | |
| SS | | different backgrounds | | |
| anc | | or social groups? | | |
| l i | Redress inequalities | Will it redress | | |
| <u>ŏ</u> | related to age, | inequalities? | | |
| ≦. ⊃ | disability, gender | Will it increase the | | |
| g t | assignment race, | ability of people to | | |
| he | faith, location and | influence decisions, | | |
| 8 | income | including 'hard to | | |
| mm | Encourage and | reach' groups? | | |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involving the community | Encourage and enable the active | Will it encourage | | |
| ŧ | involvement of local | engagement with | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the |
| | | 0 0 | U | development. |
| 1 | people in community | community activities? | | |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|----|--|
| П | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 25.09 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 2 employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | - | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. Electricity is likely to require local and upstream reinforcement. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is approaching capacity and will require investigation and possibly mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | /- | Insufficient spare school capacity but potential for improvement to meet needs. Insufficient primary and large deficit in secondary school capacity. Site is adjacent to secondary school. |

| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | 0 | Total score of 14. |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | + | 485m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | - | Hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | + | 23 Minutes from Swavesey to St. Ives. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 5.32km ACF from the centre of the site to St. Ives Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | 0/- | No capacity constraints identified, safe access can be achieved. The Hlghways Agency comment that most of the sites identified within this group are small infills, closely associated with existing settlements. It is realistic to assume that a substantial proportion of such sites could be accommodated in the short to medium term but it would be difficult to see more than a quarter of the identified capacity being deliverable. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0/+ | The Highway Authority will require new development to provide or contribute to the provision of infrastructure to encourage more sustainable transport links both on and off site. Provision or contribution from this site would result in minor improvement to public transport, walking or cycling facilities. |

Site No. Site 066
Address Land off the Causeway, Bassingbourn
Location Bassingbourn
Category of site Group Village
Site area 1.03

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|---|
| - 3 | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| | Minimise the | efficiently? | | |
| Land | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site but all Grade 2. |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| ⊳ | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | 0 | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0/+ | The site would require investigation due to it being adjacent to military land. Potential for benefits through remediation of any contamination. |
| al Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Development unlikely to effect water quality. Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will be achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | /- | Significant negative impact (development conflicts with landscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - development of the site would result would result in a reduction of the green separation between the villages of Bassingbourn and Kneesworth, would change the open character of this area, and would effect the settings of listed buildings, the village and the conservation area. |
|--|---|---|----|---|
| Landscap | landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | - | Minor negative impact (development conflicts with townscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - development of this site would change the open character of this part of the village. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | | Significant negative impact on historic assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) - development of the site is likely to have a significant adverse impact on the settings of the listed buildings. Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | efficiency? Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| Φ | | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| . . | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travelling | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| | | Showpeople? | | |
| Inclusive Cor | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| nmur | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | + | 538m ACF to the village pharmacy - location chosen as representation of central |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involvi | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | Point of a cluster services and facilities. No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| qualities an | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| nd involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 20.68 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 2 employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor utilities infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare capacity within the distribution zone to supply the total number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites with the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is approaching capacity. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed. |

| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | + | Total score of 18. |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 186m ACF from the centre of the site to nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | | Less than hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | +++ | Bus service takes 19 minutes to get to Royston centre. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | +++ | 4.20km ACF from the centre of the site to Royston Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | 0 | No capacity constraints identified, safe access can be achieved. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 067
Address Land at Manor Farm Close, Oakington
Location Oakington
Category of site Group Village
Site area 2.21

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|---|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| | Minimise the | Will it use land | | |
| Land | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | efficiently? Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | 0 | Development would not affect best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2). |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Half of the site s within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF but development would not have a negative impact. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| _ | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | - | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some minor to moderate additional off-site road traffic noise generation. |
| าvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | + | A small part of the site was formerly part of Oakington airfield and may have contaminated land. Potential for minor benefits through remediation of minor contamination. |
| l Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). There is a protected Ash tree on the south eastern boundary of the site. A group of protected trees is located just to the north of the site |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |
| | Maintain and enhance the diversity | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The site is within the area of Northstowe Area Action Plan policy NS/3. It is the intension that there should be green separation between the new settlement of Northstowe and the surrounding villages in order to retain the separate identities of the villages. If this site were to be allocated it could reduce the land available for separation between Oakington and Northstowe. |

| Landscape, Towr | landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with townscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The site is within the area of Northstowe Area Action Plan policy NS/3. It is the intension that there should be green separation between the new settlement of Northstowe and the surrounding villages in order to retain the separate identities of the villages. If this site were to be allocated it could reduce the land available for separation between Oakington and Northstowe. |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | - | Minor Negative Impact (development conflicts with townscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - Site forms part of the setting of the Oakington Conservation Area and several Grade II and a II* Listed Buildings. Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy efficiency? | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | | Flood Zone 3 (or other form of flood risk incapable of appropriate mitigation) - the whole site is within Flood Zone 3a. |
| U | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| Ē. | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |
| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). Assumption is standard requirements for open space would apply. |

| 1 | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the | | |
| | | housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople? | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Con | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
|) mc | range and | Sub-Indicator: | + | 506m ACF to the Post Office, a central point in the village which is surrounded by |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involving the community | accessibility of services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Distance to centre Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | other services and facilities. No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| qualities an | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| nd involving the com | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|--|--|---|--|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The assumption is that the local centre proposed will only be of a suitable scale to serve needs of new residents and will not impact on other centres. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 19.29 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 6 employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The WWTW is operating close to capacity and the sewerage network is at capacity and both will require mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | + | Insufficient spare school capacity but potential for improvement to meet needs. Insufficient secondary school capacity. |

| | | Will it enable shorter | | |
|-----------|--|--|-----|--|
| | | journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | + | Total score of 18. |
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 158m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | + | 20 Minute Service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | + | 21 Minutes from Oakington to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 7.36km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | | Insufficient capacity or access constraints that cannot be adequately mitigated. The proposed site does not appear to have a direct link to the adopted public highway |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 070
Address Rear of Searles Meadow, Dry Drayton
Location Dry Drayton
Category of site Group Village
Site area 1.06

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|--|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| Land | Minimise the irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | efficiently? Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site but all Grade 2 (1.06 ha.). |
| 8 Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| Þ | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | - | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some minor to moderate additional road traffic noise generation impact on existing residential due to development related car movements but dependent on location of site entrance |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | + | Agricultural buildigns may have contaminated land. Potential for minor benefits through remediation of minor contamination |
| l Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). The site is adjacent to several groups of protected trees to the south. |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. A network of footpaths lie approximately 90m to the east. |

| | · | 1 | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - Development of this site would result in the loss of established trees and the site would be exposed to the wider countryside. It would also expand the built up area of the village to the west and is not appropriate. |
| Landscap | landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with townscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - Development of this site would result in the loss of established trees and the site would be exposed to the wider countryside. It would also expand the built up area of the village to the west and is not appropriate. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | - | Minor Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) – part of the setting of several Grade II Listed Buildings. Archaeological potential will require further information but it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| уе | | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | efficiency? Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| | | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| 5 | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). Assumption is standard requirements for open space would apply. |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? | | |
| JG | | Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople? | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Com | Improve the quality, range and accessibility of services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involvi | | Sub-Indicator: Distance to centre Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | 355m ACF to Park Street, central to the village in relation to the services and facilities within the village. No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| nequalities and | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| nd involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| ımunity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). Assumption is standard requirements for open space would apply. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The assumption is that the local centre proposed will only be of a suitable scale to serve needs of new residents and will not impact on other centres. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 16.96 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 2 employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The WWTW and sewerage network is close to capacity and both will require mitigation. Part of the village is served by mains gas supply. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed. Insufficient secondary school capacity. |

| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | 0 | Total score of 14. |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 276m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | | Less than hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | + | 25 Minutes from Dry Drayton to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 8.07km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | - | Minor negative effects incapable of mitigation. Access constraints - Access can be achieved subject to legal right of way over Searle's Meadow. A14 capacity issues. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 071
Address Land south of Hale Road, Swavesey
Location Swavesey
Category of site Group Village
Site area 10.76

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|--|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| Land | Minimise the irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | efficiently? Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | 0 | Development would not affect best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2). |
| d & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Small part of the site within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF but development would not have a negative impact. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| ⊳ | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | - | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some minor to moderate additional road traffic noise generation impact on existing residential due to development related car movements but dependent on location of site entrance. Some potential for traffic noise from A14, but should be possible to mitigate. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated |
| al Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. A footpath runs along the northern boundary of the site. Bridleways lie approximately 155m to the north east and 580m to the west |

| | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - Owing to the slightly sloping land any new development would be at a higher level than the existing village edge and probably more visible. The site is very open and rural in character and development on this site would be very large scale and harmful to the character of the village. It would constitute substantial back land development, poorly related to the existing built-up part of the village. Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, with significant poorties in page to incomplete for villages). Ovice to the eligibility depicts |
|--|---|---|-----|--|
| Landscape, Tow | townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | | significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - Owing to the slightly sloping land any new development would be at a higher level than the existing village edge and probably more visible. It would constitute substantial back land development. The majority of the site is within Flood Zones 2 and 3, with the remaining land of a piecemeal nature in pockets to the north and south. It would be difficult to develop such a site and integrate it into the built form of the village. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | - | Minor Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) — site forms an important part of the wider setting of a Grade II Listed windmill to the west. Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | efficiency? Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | / - | Flood Zone 3 (or other form of flood risk incapable of appropriate mitigation). The majority of the site is within Flood Zones 2 and 3, with the remaining land of a piecemeal nature in pockets to the north and south. It would be difficult to develop such a site and integrate it into the built form of the village. |
| | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| 5 | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |
| | and quality of | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). Assumption is standard requirements for open space would apply. |

| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travelling Showpeople? | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| Inclusive Comr | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| mu | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | + | 562m ACF to The White Horse pub which is surrounded by a cluster of other |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involving the community | accessibility or services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Distance to centre Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) Will improve relations | 0 | services and facilities within the village. No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| ualities an | | between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| nd involving the con | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|--|--|----|--|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 24.70 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. Only 1 employment area with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | - | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. Electricity is likely to require local and upstream reinforcement. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is approaching capacity and will require investigation and possibly mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | /- | Insufficient spare school capacity but potential for improvement to meet needs. Insufficient primary and large deficit in secondary school capacity. |

| | | 1 | | |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | + | Total score of 16. |
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | + | 532m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | - | Hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | + | 23 Minutes from Swavesey to St. Ives. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | +++ | 4.97km ACF from the centre of the site to St. Ives Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | 0/- | No capacity constraints identified, safe access can be achieved. The Hlghways Agency comment that most of the sites identified within this group are small infills, closely associated with existing settlements. It is realistic to assume that a substantial proportion of such sites could be accommodated in the short to medium term but it would be difficult to see more than a quarter of the identified capacity being deliverable. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0/+ | The Highway Authority will require new development to provide or contribute to the provision of infrastructure to encourage more sustainable transport links both on and off site. Provision or contribution from this site would result in minor improvement to public transport, walking or cycling facilities. |

Site No. Site 072
Address Land east of Dubbs Knoll Road, Guilden Morden
Location Guilden Morden
Category of site Group Village
Site area 2.98

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|--|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| | Minimise the | Will it use land efficiently? | | |
| <u>ا</u> | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral | Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site but all Grade 2. |
| Land & Soil Resources | reserves, and productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | agricultural land? Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| Þ | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | | Will create significant negative impacts to, or as a result of, the development, incapable of adequate mitigation. Environmental Health object to site due to noise impact from nearby premises used as dog kennels. Dog-barking noise being investigated and likely to be considered a statutory nuisance to existing residents. Also noise from electricity substation. Odour from sewage pumping works could have impact. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated. |
| al Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Development unlikely to affect water quality. Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| | | | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, with |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | | significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - There is a well-established hedge with trees along this edge of the village creating a clear border between the urban and rural form. The field is clearly part of the wider countryside that extends northward. |
| Landsca | landscape and | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | 0 | Neutral impact (generally compatible, or capable of being made compatible with local townscape character). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | - | Minor Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) — site forms an important part of the setting of two Grade II Listed cottages. Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| je | | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | efficiency? Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| . S | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |
|--|--|---|-----|---|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople? | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Con | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| nmun | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | +++ | 399m ACF from the centre of the site to th King Edward VII pub central in relation to services and facilities within the village. |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involv | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| qualities an | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| nd involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|--|
| п | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | 0 | 34.42 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. No employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is approaching capacity and will require mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed |

| | ı | , | | , |
|-----------|--|---|-----|---|
| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | 0 | Total score of 14. |
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 174m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | | Less than hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | + | 29 minutes from Guilden Morden to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 8.70km ACF from the centre of the site to Royston Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | - | Minor negative effects incapable of mitigation. Access constraints - the access link to the public highway is unsuitable to serve the number of units that are being proposed |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact |

Site No. Site 073
Address Land off Toft Lane, Great Wilbraham
Location Great Wilbraham
Category of site Group Village
Site area 0.82

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|--|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| | Minimise the | Will it use land efficiently? | | |
| Land | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site but all Grade 2 (0.82 ha.). |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | - | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some minor to moderate additional road traffic noise generation impact on existing residential due to development related car movements but dependent on location of site entrance |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | + | Potential for minor benefits through remediation of minor contamination as part of the site is allotments. |
| al Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | environment? Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). A group of protected elms lies approximately 28m to the south east. |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. A group of protected elms lies along the north western edge, and another on the south eastern edge. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. A footpath runs along the southern boundary. |

| | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The site forms an important part of the setting of a number of Listed Buildings along Frog End and development would result in the loss of significant rural character and functional countryside setting. The site would impact on the setting of an Important Countryside Frontage to the west, designated to protect the rural character. |
|--|---|--|----|--|
| Landscape, To | landscape and | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | -1 | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with townscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The site forms an important part of the setting of a number of Listed Buildings along Frog End and development would result in the loss of significant rural character and functional countryside setting. The site would impact on the setting of an Important Countryside Frontage to the west, designated to protect the rural character. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | | Significant Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) - Site forms part of the setting of several Grade II Listed Buildings and the Conservation Area. Archaeological potential will require further information but it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | that work well, wear | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | efficiency? Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. A very small part of the site is within the Flood Zone 2. |
| | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). Assumption is standard requirements for open space would apply. |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| | | Travelling Showpeople? | | |
| Inclusive Con | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| nmu | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | + | 405m from the centre of the site to the Post Office. Services and facilities |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involv | accessibility of services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| qualities an | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| ınd involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). Assumption is standard requirements for open space would apply. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|---|
| П | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The assumption is that the local centre proposed will only be of a suitable scale to serve needs of new residents and will not impact on other centres. |
| | | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | 0 | 34.65 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. No employment areas with 2000+ employees can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The WWTW and sewerage network is at capacity and both will require mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed. |

| | | | | , |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | 0 | Total score of 12. |
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 235m ACF from the centre of the site to nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | | Less than hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | - | 45 minutes from Great Wilbraham to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 9.83km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | | Insufficient capacity or access constraints that cannot be adequately mitigated. The access link to the public highway is unsuitable to serve the number of units that are being proposed. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 074
Address Land off Station Road, Fulbourn
Location Fulbourn
Category of site Rural Centre
Site area 12.41

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|--|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| | Minimise the | efficiently? | | |
| Land | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - Whole of site is grade 2. |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | - | Development of the whole site would be likely to suffer from noise pollution from industrial users and the operational railway to the north of the site. Restricting development to part of the site and the use of noise abatement measures would result in mitigation of much of the noise pollution. Further investigation would be required before the site could be allocated and developed. |
| Environment | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | + | Potential for minor benefits through remediation of minor contamination - Site is adjacent to current industrial/commercial use and railway line and may need investigation. This can be dealt with by condition. |
| al Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Development unlikely to affect water quality. The site within Groundwater Source Protection Zone 3 which does not rule out development but may influence land use or require pollution control measures. Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process and will mitigate any impact on groundwater, |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. Greatest impact may result through the loss of a large area of open grassland which may provide bat and badgers with foraging area. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| | | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity | | Minor Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - it would result in the loss of land that |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of | and distinctiveness of landscape character? | - | has a rural character on this northern edge of the village. |
| Landsc | landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | - | Minor Negative Impact (development conflicts with townscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - incompatible with linear street pattern characteristic of Fulbourn. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | - | Minor Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) – site forms an important part of the setting of the Conservation Area and the Grade II* church. Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| ge | | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | efficiency? Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. A part of the western edge of the site is within Flood Zones 2 and 3. |
| U | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| = | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | +/0 | Development would create minor opportunities for new public open space - The promoter has indicated that generous amounts of open space would be included in the development of the site |
|--|--|--|-----|--|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the | | |
| g | | housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travelliers and Travelling Showpeople? | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Com | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | + | Rural Centre |
| n in in | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | +++ | 385m ACF from the centre of the site Fulbourn High Street -a cluster of services |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involv | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Distance to centre Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | and facilities within the village. No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| equalities and | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| nd involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| nmunity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| Economic Activity | | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 27.48 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. Only 1 employment area with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | - | Major utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. The electricity, mains water, gas and sewerage systems will need reinforcement to increase capacity. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed |

| | | | | , |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | + | Total score of 16. |
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 247m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop (17 Service). 392m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop (Citi 1). |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | + | 17 service - less than hourly service. Citi 1 - 20 Minute Service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | - | 17 service - 30 Minutes from Fulbourn to Newmarket Citi 1 - 50 Minutes from Fulbourn to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 7.48km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | - | Minor negative effects incapable of mitigation. Access constraints - The Highway Authority has severe concerns due to the access being located in such close proximity to the existing level crossing and would recommend that the Local Planning Authority contact Rail Track before progressing this site. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 075
Address Land fronting Dubbs Knoll Road and north of 33 Dubbs Knoll Road, Guilden Morden
Location Guilden Morden
Category of site Group Village
Site area 1.16

| Them e | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|--|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| | Minimise the | efficiently? | | |
| Land | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site but all Grade 2. |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| ⊳ | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | - | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some minor to moderate additional road traffic noise generation impact on existing residential due to development related car movements but dependent on location of site entrance |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated. |
| l Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Development unlikely to affect water quality. Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| | Maintain and enhance the diversity | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - Would result in loss of land with rural character. |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| Landsc | and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | 0 | Neutral impact (generally compatible, or capable of being made compatible with local townscape character). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | - | Minor Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) — site forms an important part of the setting of a Grade II Listed cottage. Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| ige | | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy efficiency? | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| Φ | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| . | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |
|--|--|--|---|---|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travelling | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| | | Showpeople? | | |
| Inclusive Cor | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| nmu | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | + | 409m ACF from the centre of the site to th King Edward VII pub central in relation |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involv | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| qualities an | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| and involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| Economic Activity | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | 0 | 34.42 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. No employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is approaching capacity and will require mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed |

| | | | | , |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | 0 | Total score of 14. |
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 79m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | | Less than hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | + | 29 minutes from Guilden Morden to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 8.86km ACF from the centre of the site to Royston Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | 0 | No capacity constraints identified, safe access can be achieved. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact |

Site No. Site 076
Address Land north of Babraham Road, Sawston
Location Sawston
Category of site Rural Centre
Site area 3.64

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|--|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| | Minimise the | efficiently? | | |
| Land | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - Grade 2. |
| l & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | 0 | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. |
| Environment | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | +/0 | The site is adjacent to an old railway line which may have contaminated land. Potential for minor benefits through remediation of minor contamination. |
| al Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Development unlikely to affect water quality. The site within Groundwater Source Protection Zone 3 which does not rule out development but may influence land use or require pollution control measures. Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process and will mitigate any impact on groundwater. |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | + | Minor positive impact as there are some opportunities for enhancement through the provision of hedgerows. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| | and distinctiveness of landscape and | | 0/+ | Neutral impact (generally compatible, or capable of being made compatible with local landscape character). Loss of land in Green Belt would have an adverse impact on Green Belt purposes. Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. Development of this site has the potential to have a positive impact upon the landscape setting of Sawston provided the design makes a generous provision of land to ensure a soft green edge to the east. |
|--|---|---|-----|---|
| Landscape, 1 | townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | 0 | Neutral impact (generally compatible, or capable of being made compatible with local townscape character). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. Adjoins industrial estate to the west. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| Climate Change | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy efficiency? | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| ealth | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Cor | Improve the quality, | Showpeople? Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | + | Rural Centre. |
| nmun | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | | 1,175m ACF from the centre of the site Sawston High Street -a cluster of services and facilities within the village. |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involv | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| qualities an | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| nd involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 27.53 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. Only 1 employment area with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | - | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is at capacity and will require mitigation. Electricity supply is ikely to require local and upstream reinforcement. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed. |

| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | 0 | Total score of 13. |
|-----------|--|---|---|--|
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | - | 829m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | + | 20 minute service to Cambridge. Hourly service to Saffron Walden. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | 0 | 39 Minutes from Sawston to Cambridge. 29 Minutes from Sawston to Saffron Walden. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 9.56km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | 0 | No capacity constraints identified, safe access can be achieved. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact |

Site No. Site 077
Address Appleacre Park, London Road, Fowlmere
Location Fowlmere
Category of site Group Village
Site area 0.46

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|--|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| | Minimise the | efficiently? | | |
| Land | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site but all Grade 2 |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| Þ | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | 0 | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated |
| l Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| | | | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, with |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | | significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) Clear views into the site from housing on opposite side of road. Dense hedges with mature tress on other boundaries so views from adjoining uses screened. Site part of countryside adjoining Fowlmere. |
| Landsca | landscape and | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | - | Minor Negative Impact (development conflicts with townscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - Development would be contrary to linear form of this part of village |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| je | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | efficiency? Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| Ħ | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| | | Showpeople? Will it improve | | |
| Inclusive Cor | Improve the quality, | accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| nmu | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | 0 | 710m ACF to The Chequers Inn Pub - location chosen as representation of |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involv | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| qualities an | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| and involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| Economic Activity | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|---|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | 0 | 41.26 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. No employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is at capacity and will require mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed |

| | | | | , |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | 0 | Total score of 12. |
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 89m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | | Less than hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | - | 45-55 Minutes from Fowlmere to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 7.97km ACF from the centre of the site to Royston Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | 0 | No capacity constraints identified, safe access can be achieved. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact |

Site No. Site 078
Address Land between South End & Spring Lane, Bassingbourn
Location Bassingbourn
Category of site Group Village
Site area 2.12

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|---|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | 0 | A small area of the site is previously developed land as the site includes a dwelling. |
| Land | Minimise the irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | efficiently? Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site including Grade 2. |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| Þ | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | 0/- | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some minor to moderate additional road traffic noise generation on existing residential due to development related car movements but dependent on location of site entrance. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | + | Potential for minor benefits through remediation of asbestos contamination known within the site. |
| al Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Development unlikely to effect water quality. Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will be achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| [| Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? Will it maintain and enhance the diversity | - | Minor negative impact (development conflicts with landscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - development of this site would result in the encroachment of built development into the enclosed fields that form a soft edge to the village. Minor negative impact (development conflicts with townscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - development of this site would change |
|--|---|--|-----|--|
| andscape, Towns | | and distinctiveness of townscape character? Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of | | the rural character of this part of the village. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | - | Minor negative impact on historic assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) – the site forms part of the settings of a number of listed buildings. Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| ge | , | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy efficiency? | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0/- | The majority of the site is Flood Zone 1. There are small areas of Flood Zones 2 and 3 within the eastern section of the site. |
| | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| 5 | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |
|---|--|---|-----|---|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travellers | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Cor | Improve the quality, | Showpeople? Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | | Group Village |
| nmun | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | +++ | 360m ACF to the village pharmacy - location chosen as representation of central point of a cluster services and facilities. |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involvi | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| qualities an | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| ınd involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| Economic Activity | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 23.92 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 2 employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor utilities infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare capacity within the distribution zone to supply the total number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites with the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is approaching capacity. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed. |

| | | Will it enable shorter | | |
|-----------|--|--|-----|--|
| | | journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | + | Total score of 18. |
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 379m ACF from the centre of the site to nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | | Less than hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | +++ | 19 minutes from Bassingbourn to Royston. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | +++ | 3.90km ACF from the centre of the site to Royston Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | 0 | No capacity constraints identified, safe access can be achieved. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 079
Address 40 - 48 West Street, Comberton
Location Comberton
Category of site Group Village
Site area 1.42

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|---|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | - | No previously developed land. |
| Land | Minimise the irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | efficiently? Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site but all Grade 2. |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| A | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | 0 | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated |
| al Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Development unlikely to affect water quality. Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process and will mitigate any impact on groundwater. Almost all of site included within a protection zone. |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| Landsca | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of | - | Minor Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation). The site falls within an area where development would have an adverse impact on Green Belt purposes. Development would cause a loss of part of the area of long gardens, and small fields which form a soft edge to the village. It would also introduce development in depth north of West Street in the part of the village which retains its linear nature in this location. Development would have an adverse effect on the landscape setting of Comberton. Minor Negative Impact (development conflicts with townscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation). Development would cause a loss of part of the area of long gardens, and small fields which form a soft edge to the village. It would also introduce development in depth north of West Street in the |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| pe, Town: | | townscape character? | | part of the village which retains its linear nature in this location. Development would have an adverse effect on the townscape of Comberton. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | - | Minor Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) – Adverse effect on character of CA due to introduction of backland development and possible loss of mature hedge and trees prominent in the streetscape, approach to core of the village and CA, and in settings of listed buildings. The site is within 20 metres of 40 West Street which is listed Grade II. Major adverse effect on settings of LBs at 38, 40 and 54 West Street (Grade II) and Manor House on Green End (Grade II) due to possible loss of mature hedge and trees prominent in the streetscape and the loss of openness and rural character of backdrops and skylines. Impact could be partly mitigated by retention of hedgerows and trees. Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | Will it promote energy efficiency? | | |
| | | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Site in Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| U | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| 5 | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |
| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |

| | | Will it support the | | |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----|--|
| ΙZ | | provision of a range of | | |
| l k | | housing types and | | |
| <u>₹</u> | | sizes, including | | |
| ő | | affordable and key | | |
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | | worker housing, to | | |
| l i | | meet the identified | | |
| 5. | Ensure all groups | needs of all sectors of | | |
| ti eg | have access to | the community? | | |
| 8 | decent, appropriate | Will it provide for | | |
| - - | and affordable | housing for the ageing | | |
| S | housing | population? | | |
| ing | | Will it provide for the | | |
| | | housing | | |
| | | accommodation needs | | |
| | | of Gypsies and | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| | | Travellers and | | |
| | | Travelling | | |
| | | Showpeople? | | |
| | | Will it improve | | |
| | | accessibility to key | | |
| | | local services and | - | |
| Inc | | facilities, including | | Group Village |
| l iii | | health, education and | | Group village |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involving the community | | leisure (shops, post | | |
| | | offices, pubs, sports | | |
| Om | Improve the quality, | facilities etc?) | | |
| Į | range and | Sub-Indicator: | +++ | 266m from the centre of the site to the main cross roads, a central point in the |
| 1 ₹ | accessibility of | Distance to centre | +++ | village surrounded by services and facilities. |
| ies | services and facilities | Will it improve quality | | |
| | (e.g. health, | and range of key local | | |
| l de | transport, education, | services and facilities | | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the |
| dre | training, leisure opportunities) | including health, | 0 | development. |
| SS. | | education and leisure | | development. |
| ng | | (shops, post offices, | | |
| Ĭ, | | pubs etc?) | | |
| nbę | | Will improve relations | | |
| a <u> </u> | | between people from | | |
| lieg | | different backgrounds | | |
| <u>a</u> | | or social groups? | | |
| JG : | Dedese 5 22 | Will it redress | | |
| l iv | Redress inequalities | inequalities? | | |
| 응 | related to age, | Will it increase the | | |
| ing | disability, gender | ability of people to | | |
| = | assignment race, | influence decisions, | | |
|) e (| faith, location and | including 'hard to | | |
| òπ | income | reach' groups? | | |
| Ĭ | Encourage and | 3p | | |
| S. | enable the active | Will it encourage | | N. 7 1900 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| ₹ | involvement of local | engagement with | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the |
| | people in community | community activities? | _ | development. |
| | activities | , | | |

| | | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|---|
| П | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 28.42 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. Only 1 employment area with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is at capacity and will require mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | + | Insufficient spare school capacity but potential for improvement to meet needs. Current spare capacity at the local primary School. Secondary places deficit is being addressed by the construction of a new school at Cambourne to relieve Comberton VC. |

| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | + | Total score of 16. |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 214m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | - | Hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | + | 23 Minutes from Comberton to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 7.34km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | 0 | No capacity constraints identified, safe access can be achieved A junction located on West Street would be acceptable to the Highway Authority. The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 080
Address 50 - 54 West Street, Comberton
Location Comberton
Category of site Group Village
Site area 0.89

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|---|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | - | No previously developed land. |
| Land | Minimise the irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | efficiently? Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site but all Grade 2. |
| d & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| Þ | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | 0 | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated |
| al Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Development unlikely to affect water quality. Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process and will mitigate any impact on groundwater. Almost all of site included within a protection zone. |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| Landsca | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of | - | Minor Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation). The site falls within an area where development would have an adverse impact on Green Belt purposes. Development would cause a loss of part of the area of long gardens, and small fields which form a soft edge to the village. It would also introduce development in depth north of West Street in the part of the village which retains its linear nature in this location. Development would have an adverse effect on the landscape setting of Comberton. Minor Negative Impact (development conflicts with townscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation). Development would cause a loss of part of the area of long gardens, and small fields which form a soft edge to the village. It would also introduce development in depth north of West Street in the |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| ape, T | | townscape character? | | wall age. It would also introduce development in depart of the village which retains its linear nature in this location. Development would have an adverse effect on the townscape of Comberton. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | - | Minor Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) — site forms an part of the setting of a nearby Listed Building. Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | efficiency? | | |
| | | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Site in Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| U | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| 5 | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |
| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |

| Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Housing Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the | |
|--|------------------------------|
| Community? Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing Housing Will it provide for housing Will it provide for the ageing population? Will it provide for the | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | 1 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| housing | |
| accommodation needs | |
| of Gypsies and 0 No effect on pitch or plot provision. | |
| Travellers and | |
| Travelling | |
| Showpeople? | |
| Will it improve | |
| accessibility to key | |
| local services and | |
| | |
| health, education and - Group Village | Group Village |
| leisure (shops, post | |
| offices, pubs, sports | |
| O Improve the quality, facilities at 2) | |
| range and Facilities etc?) | |
| Sub-Indicator: 314m from the centre of the site to the main cross response to control. | bads, a central point in the |
| Distance to centre village surrounded by services and facilities. | |
| Will it improve quality (e.g. health, | |
| and range of key local transport, education, lagrified and facilities | |
| training, leisure services and facilities No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed dire | ctly as a result of the |
| including health, opportunities) including health, opportunities) opportunities) | |
| g. opportunities) education and leisure | |
| (shops, post offices, | |
| pubs etc?) | |
| 본 Will improve relations | |
| between people from | |
| g different backgrounds | |
| ଅଧାର or social groups? | |
| Will it redress | |
| Redress inequalities inequalities? | |
| S related to age, Will it increase the | |
| Sidisability, gender will it increase the | |
| ability of people to assignment race, | |
| faith, location and influence decisions, | |
| including 'hard to | |
| reach' groups? | |
| Improve the quality, range and accessibility of services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income Encourage and enable the active Improve the quality, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) Sub-Indicator: Distance to centre Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? Will it redress inequalities? Will it redress including health, education and income Encourage and enable the active Will it encourage No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed dire development. No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed dire development. | |
| enable the active Will it encourage No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed dire | ctly as a result of the |
| involvement of local lengagement with 0 development | , |
| | |
| people in community community activities? activities | |

| | | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|---|
| П | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 28.02 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. Only 1 employment area with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is at capacity and will require mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | + | Insufficient spare school capacity but potential for improvement to meet needs. Current spare capacity at the local primary School. Secondary places deficit is being addressed by the construction of a new school at Cambourne to relieve Comberton VC. |

| | 1 | | | , |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | + | Total score of 16. |
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 190m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | - | Hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | + | 23 Minutes from Comberton to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 7.39km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | - | Minor negative effects incapable of mitigation. Access constraints - The Highway Authority has concerns in relationship to the provision of suitable inter vehicle visibility splay for this site. The access link to the public highway is unsuitable to serve the number of units that are being proposed. The Highway Authority believes that this site could be fed from the access to site number 079 |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 081

Address Warrington Farm, Dry Drayton Location Dry Drayton

Category of site Group Village Site area 1.78

| | Site area 1.78 | | | |
|---|---|---|-------|---|
| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| | Minimise the irreversible loss of | Will it use land efficiently? Will it protect and | | |
| Land | undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site but all Grade 2 (1.78 ha.). |
| d & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| ⊳ | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | - | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some minor to moderate additional road traffic noise generation impact on existing residential due to development related car movements but dependent on location of site entrance |
| nvironmenta | mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated |
| al Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). Groups of protected trees run along the northern, eastern and most of the western edges of the site. |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |

Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible).

Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation

measures would be achieved through the development process. A footpath cuts diagonally across the site, and another path runs along the northern and western boundaries of the site, part of a network paths.

achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)?

Will it improve access to wildlife and green

delivery and access to

green infrastructure?

0

Improve

opportunities for

and green spaces

people to access and appreciate wildlife delivery and access

| | 1 | | | , |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The site lies in the heart of the village, in an area where several public rights of way provide access into the countryside. Development of this site would have a detrimental impact on the setting of the Listed Building adjoining the site and on an important area of open space and alter the character of this rural aspect to the village. |
| Landscape, To | landscape and townscape character | | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with townscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The site lies in the heart of the village, in an area where several public rights of way provide access into the countryside. Development of this site would have a detrimental impact on the setting of the Listed Building adjoining the site and on an important area of open space and alter the character of this rural aspect to the village. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | | Significant Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) - The site forms part of the setting of a Grade II* and several Grade II Listed Buildings. Archaeological potential will require further information but it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | efficiency? Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| alth | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). Assumption is standard requirements for open space would apply. |
|---|--|--|-----|--|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing | | |
| | and affordable housing | population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople? | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Co | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| Jmur | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | +++ | 189m ACF to Park Street, central to the village in relation to the services and |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involving the community | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Distance to centre Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | facilities within the village. No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| nmunity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). Assumption is standard requirements for open space would apply. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The assumption is that the local centre proposed will only be of a suitable scale to serve needs of new residents and will not impact on other centres. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 19.47 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 2 employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The WWTW and sewerage network is close to capacity and both will require mitigation. Part of the village is served by mains gas supply. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed. Insufficient secondary school capacity. |

| | 1 | | | |
|-----------|--|---|-----|---|
| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | 0 | Total score of 14. |
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 163m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | | Less than hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | + | 25 Minutes from Dry Drayton to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 7.63km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | 0/- | No capacity constraints identified, safe access can be achieved. A14 capacity issues. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 082
Address Gills Hill Farmyard, Bourn
Location Bourn
Category of site Group Village
Site area 1.33

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|--|
| U | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | + | Approximately 1/2 of the site is previously developed land - this includes commercial buildings in B2 and B8 use and hard standing, but small site (1.33 ha.) |
| Land | Minimise the irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | efficiently? Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | 0 | Development would not affect best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2). |
| d & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | A small part of the site within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF but development would not have a negative impact |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| ⊳ | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | 0 | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Allocating this site for residential would be positive but some minor to moderate additional road traffic noise generation on existing residential due to development related car movements but dependent on location of site entrance. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | + | 1/2 site was formerly used for commercial and may have contaminated land. Potential for minor benefits through remediation of minor contamination |
| l Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Development unlikely to affect water quality. Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). A group of protected trees lies along the southern boundary. |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. A footpath lies approximately 210m to the west. |

| | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - Whilst redevelopment of the site would remove the current B2 and B8 uses and hard standing, the site is adjacent to a Grade II Listed Building and development has previously been adjudged harmful by an independent planning inspector. The site is in an area with a rural character, with views across open countryside to the east. |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| Landscape, To | landscape and townscape character | | 1 | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with townscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - Whilst redevelopment of the site would remove the current B2 and B8 uses and hard standing, the site is adjacent to a Grade II Listed Building and development has previously been adjudged harmful by an independent planning inspector. The site is in an area with a rural character, with views across open countryside to the east. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | | Significant Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) - site forms an important part of the setting of the adjacent Grade II Listed Building. Archaeological potential will require further information but it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy efficiency? | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| Φ | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| alth | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). Assumption is standard requirements for open space would apply. |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? | | |
| Jg | | Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople? | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Com | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| nmu | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | + | 566m ACF from the centre to Riddy Lane, central in relation to the services and |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involvi | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Distance to centre Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | facilities within the village. No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| equalities and | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| nd involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| nmunity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | - | Development would have a minor negative effect on employment opportunities, as a result of the loss of existing employment land. Loss of B2 and B8. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 31.25 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. No employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The WWTW is operating at capacity and the sewerage network is at capacity and both will require mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed |

| | 1 | 1 | | |
|-----------|--|---|---|--|
| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | 0 | Total score of 12. |
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | + | 402m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | - | Hourly Service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | 0 | 35 minutes from Bourn to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | 0 | 12.35km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | 0 | No capacity constraints identified, safe access can be achieved. A428 capacity issues. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 083
Address Land south of Whitton Close & west of Boxworth End, Swavesey
Location Swavesey
Category of site Group Village
Site area 7.65

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|---|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | 0 | The site includes one residential property. |
| Land | Minimise the irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | efficiently? Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | 0 | Development would not affect best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2). |
| 3 & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| ≥. | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | - | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some minor to moderate additional road traffic noise generation impact on existing residential due to development related car movements but dependent on location of site entrance. Some potential for traffic noise from A14, but should be possible to mitigate. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated |
| ll Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). A group and three individual protected trees lie approximately 50-65m to the east. |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| | | | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, with |
|--|---|--|-----|---|
| | | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The site is in an area described as enclosed farmland, orchards, hedges, trees and long gardens between linear housing and open farmland. Development on this site has previously been adjudged to be harmful to the countryside and character to this rural, linear part of the village by independent planning inspectors. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | | -1- | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with townscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The village has a strong linear form on a north-south road. The linear development has been extended to the west of the main street, south of the historic core. Development on this site would be very large scale and harmful to the character of this compact, linear village. It would constitute substantial back land development, poorly related to the existing built-up part of the village, significantly extending the village to the west. Development on this site has previously been adjudged to be harmful to the countryside and character to this rural, linear part of the village by independent planning inspectors. Important Countryside Frontage – to the east of the site on the opposite side of Middlewatch. Protected Village Amenity Area – lies approximately 55m to the north. |
| | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | - | Minor Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) – site forms an part of the setting of a Grade II Listed Buildings along Middle Watch. Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy efficiency? | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |

| Health | Maintain and enhance human health Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). Assumption is standard requirements for open space would apply. |
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? | | |
| s - Hou | decent, appropriate and affordable | Will it provide for housing for the ageing | | |
| sing | housing | population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople? | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Comr | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| mun | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: Distance to centre | | 1,802m ACF to The White Horse pub which is surrounded by a cluster of other services and facilities within the village. |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing ineq | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) Will improve relations | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| ualities and ir | Redress inequalities | between people from different backgrounds or social groups? Will it redress | | |
| ing inequalities and involving the community | related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| | | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
|-------------------|---|--|----|--|
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 23.44 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 2 employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | - | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. Electricity is likely to require local and upstream reinforcement. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is approaching capacity and will require investigation and possibly mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | /- | Insufficient spare school capacity but potential for improvement to meet needs. Insufficient primary and large deficit in secondary school capacity. Site is adjacent to secondary school. |

| | 1 | | | |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | + | Total score of 16. |
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 276m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | - | Hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | + | 23 Minutes from Swavesey to St. Ives. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 5.72km ACF from the centre of the site to St. Ives Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | 0/- | No capacity constraints identified, safe access can be achieved. The Hlghways Agency comment that most of the sites identified within this group are small infills, closely associated with existing settlements. It is realistic to assume that a substantial proportion of such sites could be accommodated in the short to medium term but it would be difficult to see more than a quarter of the identified capacity being deliverable. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | + | The Highway Authority will require new development to provide or contribute to the provision of infrastructure to encourage more sustainable transport links both on and off site. Provision or contribution from this site would result in minor improvement to public transport, walking or cycling facilities. |

Site No. Site 084
Address 45 High Street, Bourn
Location Bourn
Category of site Group Village
Site area 0.64

| Them e | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|---|---|-------|---|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| Land & | Minimise the irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | efficiently? Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | 0 | Development would not affect best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2). |
| d & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| > | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | 0 | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some minor to moderate additional road traffic noise generation impact on existing residential due to development related car movements but dependent on location of site entrance |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated. |
| l Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Development unlikely to affect water quality. Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). A group of protected trees lies approximately 15m to the north. A protected walnut tree lies to the front of no 45 High Street. |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. A footpath lies approximately 53m to the north east and 180 to the north east. |

| | , | , , | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - It forms an important part of the setting of several Listed Buildings and Conservation Area. The land currently provides a soft edge to the village. The promoter proposes demolishing a property on the High Street to gain highway access to the site, which would have a detrimental impact on this historic part of the village. |
| Landscape, To | landscape and | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with townscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - It forms an important part of the setting of several Listed Buildings and Conservation Area. The land currently provides a soft edge to the village. The promoter proposes demolishing a property on the High Street to gain highway access to the site, which would have a detrimental impact on this historic part of the village. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | | Significant Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) - site forms an important part of the setting of the Grade I Listed church, several Grade II Listed Buildings, Conservation Area, and the historic core of the village. Archaeological potential will require further information but it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | efficiency? Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| <u> </u> | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). Assumption is standard requirements for open space would apply. |
|--|--|---|-----|--|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision |
| Inclusive Cor | Improve the quality, | Showpeople? Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| nmun | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | +++ | 272m ACF from the centre to Riddy Lane, central in relation to the services and facilities within the village. |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involv | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development |
| qualities an | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| ınd involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development |

| Economic Activity | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 27.08 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. Only 1 employment area with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The WWTW is operating at capacity and the sewerage network is at capacity and both will require mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed |

| | | | | , |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | 0 | Total score of 14. |
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 119m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | - | Hourly Service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | 0 | 35 minutes from Bourn to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | 0 | 12.39km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | /- | Insufficient capacity or access constraints that cannot be adequately mitigated. Access can only be achieved by demolishing property on High Street (unacceptable impact). |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 085
Address Next to Walnut Tree Close, North End, Bassingbourn
Location Bassingbourn
Category of site Group Village
Site area 3.14

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|---|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| | Minimise the | Will it use land efficiently? | | |
| Land | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site but all Grade 2. |
| d & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| ⊳ | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | 0/- | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some minor to moderate additional road traffic noise generation on existing residential due to development related car movements but dependent on location of site entrance. A noise impact assessment of the small wind turbine to north at Bleak Farm may be required. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0/+ | The site would require investigation due to it being adjacent to military land. Potential for benefits through remediation of any contamination. |
| al Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Development unlikely to effect water quality. Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will be achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| | Maintain and | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of | - | Minor negative impact (development conflicts with landscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - development of this site would result in |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| Land | enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | landscape character? Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | - | the encroachment of built development into the views across the open fields. Minor negative impact (development conflicts with townscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - development of this site would change the well defined village edge. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| зде | | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy efficiency? | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | - | Approximately a quarter of the site is in Flood Zones 2 and 3 both in the west and east of the site. |
| Φ | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| . | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| | | Showpeople? Will it improve | | |
| Inclusive Con | Improve the quality, | accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| nmur | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | + | 645m ACF to the village pharmacy - location chosen as representation of central |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involvi | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | Point of a cluster services and facilities. No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| qualities an | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| nd involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 22.21 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 2 employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor utilities infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare capacity within the distribution zone to supply the total number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites with the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is approaching capacity. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed. |

| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | + | Total score of 18. |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 216m ACF from the centre of the site to nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | | Less than hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | +++ | 19 minutes from Bassingbourn to Royston. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | +++ | 4.85km ACF from the centre of the site to Royston Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | 0 | No capacity constraints identified, safe access can be achieved. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 086
Address Maarnford Farm, Hunts Road, Duxford
Location Duxford
Category of site Group Village
Site area 1.66

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|--|
| | Minimise the | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land efficiently? | 0 | No previously developed land other than the farmhouse. |
| Land | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| Þ | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | 0 | To the west is the busy M11. Traffic noise will need assessment in accordance with PPG 24 and associated guidance. Residential use is likely to be acceptable with careful noise mitigation measures. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | + | Agricultural / farm buildings. Potential for minor benefits through remediation of minor contamination |
| I Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | 0/- | Minor Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) -Residential development here would intrude into the countryside and so have an adverse effect on the landscape setting of Duxford. This impact could be minimised by strengthening the boundary hedgerows and tree planting. |
|--|---|--|-----|--|
| Landsca | landscape and | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | 0 | Neutral impact (generally compatible, or capable of being made compatible with local landscape character). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| je | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy efficiency? | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| ū. | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| ਤੇ | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |
|--|--|--|---|---|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travelling | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| | | Showpeople? | | |
| Inclusive Con | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| nmur | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | + | 521m to village hall ACF from centre of the site - Duxford has long centre along |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involv | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| qualities an | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| and involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| | | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|-------|---|
| П | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 25.39 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 2 employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | +++/+ | Development can use existing capacity in utilities infrastructure. The sewerage network is approaching capacity and will require investigation and possibly mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed |

| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | 0 | Total score of 12. |
|-----------|--|---|-----|---|
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 95m acf from centre of the site to nearest bus stop to best service. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | - | Hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | | 54 Minutes from Duxford to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 9.72km ACF centre of site to Saffron Walden Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | 0 | No capacity constraints identified, safe access can be achieved. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | + | The Highway Authority will require new development to provide or contribute to the provision of infrastructure to encourage more sustainable transport links both on and off site. Provision or contribution from this site would result in minor improvement to public transport, walking or cycling facilities. |

Site No. Site 087
Address Land to the rear of 42 Swaynes Lane, Comberton Location Comberton
Category of site Group Village
Site area 0.66

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|---|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | - | No previously developed land. |
| | Minimise the | efficiently? | | |
| Land | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site but all Grade 2. |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| Þ | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | 0 | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated |
| l Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Development unlikely to affect water quality. Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process and will mitigate any impact on groundwater. Almost all of site included within a protection zone. |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| Maintain and enhance the diversity | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | | Minor Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - Development would have an adverse impact on Green Belt purposes regarding the setting, scale and character of Comberton by increasing the footprint of the village, and by the development of land important to the setting and character of the village. Development of this site would cause a loss of enclosed fields and paddocks which form a transition between the village and the large arable fields to the south, introduce development behind the single depth development on this part of Swaynes Lane, and block the open views south from Swaynes Lane which would have an adverse effect on the landscape setting of Comberton. |
|---|---|---|--|
| and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with townscape character, significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - Development would have an adverse impact on Green Belt purposes regarding the setting, scale and character of Comberton by increasing the footprint of the village, and by the development of land important to the setting and character of the village. Development of this site would cause a loss of enclosed fields and paddocks which form a transition between the village and the large arable fields to the south, introduce development behind the single depth development on this part of Swaynes Lane, and block the open views south from Swaynes Lane which would have an adverse effect on the townscape character of Comberton. |
| Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | | Significant Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation). Archaeological potential will require further information but it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. The site adjoins the Comberton Conservation Area. Major adverse effect due to depth of development, loss of rural backdrop and skyline, the loss of separation between modern development and historic settlement in views towards the CA, and the potential intensification of hard surfacing and traffic along Swaynes Lane. Major adverse effect on Comberton St Marys CA and setting of Church group including Church (Grade I) due to the loss of rural context and separation between the two settlements and CAs, the obscuring of historic buildings (especially along Swaynes Lane) from the Church and to the loss of separation between modern development and historic settlement in views from the Church. Listed Buildings (other) - Major adverse effect on settings of Listed Buildings at Swaynes Lane due to loss of rural character of backdrops and skylines, the loss of separation from modern development in long views, and the potential intensification of traffic along Swaynes Lane. |
| Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| Minimise impacts on | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | efficiency? Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable | | |
| | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Site in Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| | enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) Reduce vulnerability to future climate | enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels | enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest discipled areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) Minimise impacts on climate change effects Will it minimise energy efficiency? Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels |

| Health | Maintain and enhance human health Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? | | |
| 3 - Hot | decent, appropriate and affordable | Will it provide for housing for the ageing | | |
| sing | housing | population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople? | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Comr | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| mun | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | + | 409m from the centre of the site to the main cross roads, a central point in the village surrounded by services and facilities. |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inec | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Distance to centre Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) Will improve relations | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| ualities and ir | Redress inequalities | between people from different backgrounds or social groups? Will it redress | | |
| ing inequalities and involving the community | related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| | | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |

| , m | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
|-------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | 0 | 30.34 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. No employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is at capacity and will require mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | + | Insufficient spare school capacity but potential for improvement to meet needs. Current spare capacity at the local primary School. Secondary places deficit is being addressed by the construction of a new school at Cambourne to relieve Comberton VC. |

| | | | | , |
|-----------|--|---|---|--|
| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | 0 | Total score of 14. |
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | + | 408m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | - | Hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | + | 23 Minutes from Comberton to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 6.89km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | - | Minor negative effects incapable of mitigation. Access constraints - The Highway Authority has concerns in relationship to the provision of suitable inter vehicle visibility splay for this site. The access link to the public highway is unsuitable to serve the number of units that are being proposed. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 088
Address Land south and east of 42 Swaynes Lane, Comberton
Location Comberton
Category of site Group Village
Site area 2.24

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|---|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? | - | No previously developed land. |
| | Minimise the | Will it use land efficiently? | | |
| Land | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site but all Grade 2. |
| d & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| A | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | 0 | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. |
| nvironment | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated |
| al Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Development unlikely to affect water quality. Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process and will mitigate any impact on groundwater. Almost all of site included within a protection zone. |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| | Maintain and enhance the diversity | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - Development would have an adverse impact on Green Belt purposes regarding the setting, scale and character of Comberton by increasing the footprint of the village, and by the development of land important to the setting and character of the village. Development of this site would cause a loss of enclosed fields and paddocks which form a transition between the village and the large arable fields to the south, introduce development behind the single depth development on this part of Swaynes Lane, and block the open views south from Swaynes Lane which would have an adverse effect on the landscape setting and townscape of Comberton. |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| Landscape, Townso | and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with townscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - Development would have an adverse impact on Green Belt purposes regarding the setting, scale and character of Comberton by increasing the footprint of the village, and by the development of land important to the setting and character of the village. Development of this site would cause a loss of enclosed fields and paddocks which form a transition between the village and the large arable fields to the south, introduce development behind the single depth development on this part of Swaynes Lane, and block the open views south from Swaynes Lane which would have an adverse effect on the townscape character of Comberton. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | | Significant Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation). Archaeological potential will require further information but it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. The site adjoins the Comberton Conservation Area. Major adverse effect due to depth of development, loss of rural backdrop and skyline, the loss of separation between modern development and historic settlement in views towards the CA, and the potential intensification of hard surfacing and traffic along Swaynes Lane. Major adverse effect on Comberton St Marys CA and setting of Church group including Church (Grade I) due to the loss of rural context and separation between the two settlements and CAs, the obscuring of historic buildings (especially along Swaynes Lane) from the Church and to the loss of separation between modern development and historic settlement in views from the Church. Listed Buildings (other) - Major adverse effect on settings of Listed Buildings at Swaynes Lane due to loss of rural character of backdrops and skylines, the loss of separation from modern development in long views, and the potential intensification of traffic along Swaynes Lane. |
| | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | efficiency? Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Site in Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |

| Health | Maintain and enhance human health Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? | | |
| 3 - Hot | decent, appropriate and affordable | Will it provide for housing for the ageing | | |
| sing | housing | population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople? | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Comr | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| mun | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | + | 438m from the centre of the site to the main cross roads, a central point in the village surrounded by services and facilities. |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing ineq | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Distance to centre Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) Will improve relations | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| ualities and in | Redress inequalities | between people from different backgrounds or social groups? Will it redress | | |
| ing inequalities and involving the community | related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| | | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |

| | • | | | |
|------------------|--|---|---|---|
| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness vitality and adaptability of tillocal economy. | sectors such as research and | | |
| roonomic Acavity | Popomic Activity | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses |
| | Help people gai access to satisf work appropriat | ying support sustainable | | |
| | their skills, pote and place of residence | will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 29.60 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. Only 1 employment area with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support approp investment in puplaces. | | + | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is at capacity and will require mitigation. |
| | communications other infrastruct | to advantion and | + | Insufficient spare school capacity but potential for improvement to meet needs. Current spare capacity at the local primary School. Secondary places deficit is being addressed by the construction of a new school at Cambourne to relieve Comberton VC. |

| | | | | , |
|-----------|--|---|---|--|
| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | 0 | Total score of 14. |
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | + | 401m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | - | Hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | + | 23 Minutes from Comberton to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 6.83km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | - | Minor negative effects incapable of mitigation. Access constraints - The Highway Authority has concerns in relationship to the provision of suitable inter vehicle visibility splay for this site. The access link to the public highway is unsuitable to serve the number of units that are being proposed. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 089
Address Cody Road, Waterbeach
Location Waterbeach
Category of site Minor Rural Centre
Site area 1.86

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|--|
| | Minimise the | Will it use land that has been previously developed? | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| | | Will it use land efficiently? | | |
| Land | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site but all Grade 2. |
| 3 & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | A large proportion of the site is within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF but development would not have a negative impact. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality a | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | - | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some minor to moderate additional road traffic noise generation impact on existing residential due to development related car movements but dependent on location of site entrance. |
| nd Environi | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). A majority of the development sites falls within the Waterbeach Level Internal Drainage District, which does not have any capacity to accept any direct discharge into its system above the green field run off rate. All surface water from the site would have to be balanced before it is released into the Boards system. We also have main drains adjacent to the site, therefore any works involving these drains would require the consent of the Board. |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). There are protected trees located 60m to the south |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | - | Minor Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - There is a very clear boundary to the village to the west and south of the site. The site is located in a relatively open area separating the village from the Barracks to the north. It is in agricultural use and this, together with the open land to the east, creates a rural character and the appearance of the countryside entering the village. If this site were developed it would intrude into the rural separation area between Waterbeach and the Barracks. Minor Negative Impact (development conflicts with townscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - There is a very clear boundary to the village to the west and south of the site. The site is located in a relatively open area separating the village from the Barracks to the north. It is in agricultural use and this, together with the open land to the east, creates a rural character and the appearance of the countryside entering the village. If this site were developed it would intrude into the rural separation area between Waterbeach and the |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). The site is located to the east of the historic village core and to the north of the site of nationally important Waterbeach Abbey. The tower of St John's Church provides a distinctive landmark within Waterbeach, being visible from many viewpoints to the east and south of the village. Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | efficiency? Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| ∃ T | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |
| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). Assumption is standard requirements for open space would apply. |

| Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the | |
|---|-------------------------------|
| provision of a range of | |
| □ In a contract to the contract of the contra | |
| housing types and | |
| sizes, including | |
| affordable and key | |
| g worker housing, to | |
| meet the identified | |
| Ensure all groups needs of all sectors of | |
| have access to the community? | |
| decent, appropriate Will it provide for | |
| and affordable housing for the ageing | |
| and affordable housing for the ageing | |
| housing population? | |
| | |
| housing | |
| accommodation needs | |
| of Gypsies and 0 No effect on pitch or plot provision. | |
| Travellers and | |
| Travelling | |
| Showpeople? | |
| Will it improve | |
| accessibility to key | |
| local services and | |
| | |
| health, education and Minor Rural Centre | |
| leisure (shops, post | |
| essure (strups, post | |
| Offices, pubs, sports Improve the quality, facilities at 2) | |
| range and Facilities etc?) | 0 |
| Sub-Indicator: 3 Tange and Sub-Indicator: 723m from the centre of the site to a point along accessibility of Dietoses to centre 0 facilities alustrated accuracy accuracy accession. | g Chapel Street. Services and |
| Distance to centre facilities clustered around surrounding area. | |
| Will it improve quality (e.g. health, | |
| (e.g. nealth, and range of key local transport, education, local described and facilities | |
| training, leisure services and facilities No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed | directly as a result of the |
| including health, opportunities) including health, development. | directly as a result of the |
| opportunities) development. | |
| (shops, post offices, | |
| pubs etc?) | |
| Will improve relations | |
| between people from | |
| different backgrounds | |
| ଅଧି | |
| o with the state of | |
| Redress inequalities Will it redress | |
| related to age, inequalities? | |
| Will it increase the | |
| ability of people to | |
| faith, location and influence decisions, | |
| 8 income including 'hard to | |
| reach' groups? | |
| Encourage and | |
| | |
| enable the active Will it encourage No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed | directly on a require of the |
| enable the active Will it encourage No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed | directly as a result of the |
| enable the active involvement of local people in community community activities? Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | directly as a result of the |

| | | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|-------|---|
| П | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 22.39 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 68 employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | +++/+ | Development can use existing capacity in utilities infrastructure. However, there is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is approaching capacity and will require investigation and possibly mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - / | Insufficient spare school capacity but potential for improvement to meet needs. Insufficient primary and secondary school capacity. |

| | | | | , |
|-----------|--|---|-----|---|
| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | + | Total score of 16. |
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 96m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop (196 service). 338m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop (9 service). |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | - | 196 service - less than hourly. 9 service - Hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | + | 196 service - 44 Minutes from Waterbeach to Cambridge. 9 service - 25 Minutes from Waterbeach to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 9.01km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | 0 | No capacity constraints identified, safe access can be achieved. On the whole, the in-fill sites are less likely to present an unacceptable pressure on the A14 given the majority of travel demand will be focused on Cambridge and credible alternatives to car travel could be available. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | + | The Highway Authority will require new development to provide or contribute to the provision of infrastructure to encourage more sustainable transport links both on and off site. Provision or contribution from this site would result in minor improvement to public transport, walking or cycling facilities. |

Site No. Site 090
Address Burgess Road, Waterbeach
Location Waterbeach
Category of site Minor Rural Centre
Site area 1.14

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|--|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| | Minimise the | Will it use land efficiently? | | |
| Land | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | 0 | Development would not affect best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2). |
| d & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality a | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | - | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some minor to moderate additional road traffic noise generation impact on existing residential due to development related car movements but dependent on location of site entrance. |
| nd Environi | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | +/0 | A small part of the site has agricultural buildings, in the south, and may have contaminated land. Potential for minor benefits through remediation of minor contamination. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). A majority of the development sites falls within the Waterbeach Level Internal Drainage District, which does not have any capacity to accept any direct discharge into its system above the green field run off rate. All surface water from the site would have to be balanced before it is released into the Boards system. We also have main drains adjacent to the site, therefore any works involving these drains would require the consent of the Board. |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). There are protected trees are situated approximately 55m to the west of the site |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. A footpath runs to the south east on the opposite site of the railway line and it may be possible to provide a link to this. |

| | Maintain and enhance the diversity | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | - | Minor Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The built up edge of Waterbeach is clearly defined to the west of the site. The site, particularly the northern part of the site, does not relate well to the village but to the treed land that opens out to the east onto the adjoining flat agricultural fields. The site has a rural character and creates a soft edge to the village. The presence of the railway line to the east does not mean that the village should automatically expand outwards towards it. Development would be detrimental to the rural character and setting of this part of the village. |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| Landscape, Townsc | and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | - | Minor Negative Impact (development conflicts with townscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The built up edge of Waterbeach is clearly defined to the west of the site. The site, particularly the northern part of the site, does not relate well to the village but to the treed land that opens out to the east onto the adjoining flat agricultural fields. The site has a rural character and creates a soft edge to the village. The presence of the railway line to the east does not mean that the village should automatically expand outwards towards it. Development would be detrimental to the rural character and setting of this part of the village. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | | Significant Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) - The site is located to the east of the historic village core and to the north of the site of nationally important Waterbeach Abbey. The tower of St John's Church provides a distinctive landmark within Waterbeach, being visible from many viewpoints to the east and south of the village. Site forms an important part of the setting of a Grade II Listed and major adverse impact due to loss of wooded and open green backdrop and streetscape. Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change | efficiency? | | |
| | (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
|) h | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). Assumption is standard requirements for open space would apply. |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travelling | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| | | Showpeople? | | |
| Inclusive Co | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | 0 | Minor Rural Centre |
| nmu | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | + | 430m from the centre of the site to a point along Chapel Street. Services and |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involving the community | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | facilities clustered around surrounding area. No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including thard to | | |
| nmunity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | reach' groups? Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| | | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|-------|---|
| ш | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 20.08 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 9 employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | +++/+ | Development can use existing capacity in utilities infrastructure. However, there is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is approaching capacity and will require investigation and possibly mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - / | Insufficient spare school capacity but potential for improvement to meet needs. Insufficient primary and secondary school capacity. |

| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | 0 | Total score of 14. |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | + | 375m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop (196 service). 462m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop (9 service). |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | - | 196 service - less than hourly. 9 service - Hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | + | 196 service - 44 Minutes from Waterbeach to Cambridge. 9 service - 25 Minutes from Waterbeach to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 8.62km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | / - | Minor negative effects incapable of mitigation. Access constraints - the access link to the public highway is unsuitable to serve the number of units that are being proposed, due to the close proximity of Payton Way. On the whole, the in-fill sites are less likely to present an unacceptable pressure on the A14 given the majority of travel demand will be focused on Cambridge and credible alternatives to car travel could be available. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 091
Address Saberton Close, Waterbeach
Location Waterbeach
Category of site Minor Rural Centre
Site area 1.08

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|--|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| | Minimise the | Will it use land efficiently? | | |
| Land | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | 0 | Development would not affect best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2). |
| 3 & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality a | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | - | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some minor to moderate additional road traffic noise generation impact on existing residential due to development related car movements but dependent on location of site entrance. |
| nd Environi | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). A majority of the development sites falls within the Waterbeach Level Internal Drainage District, which does not have any capacity to accept any direct discharge into its system above the green field run off rate. All surface water from the site would have to be balanced before it is released into the Boards system. We also have main drains adjacent to the site, therefore any works involving these drains would require the consent of the Board. |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). There are protected trees situated approximately 80m to the south west of the site. |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0/-? | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. The site is in a substantial area of woodland and grassland which may result in some habitat loss. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. A footpath runs to the south east on the opposite site of the railway line and it may be possible to provide a link to this. |

| | Maintain and enhance the diversity | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | - | Minor Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The built up edge of Waterbeach is clearly defined to the west of the site. The site, particularly the northern part of the site, does not relate well to the village but to the treed land that opens out to the east onto the adjoining flat agricultural fields. The site has a rural character and creates a soft edge to the village. The presence of the railway line to the east does not mean that the village should automatically expand outwards towards it. Development would be detrimental to the rural character and setting of this part of the village. |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| Landscape, Townsc | and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | - | Minor Negative Impact (development conflicts with townscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The built up edge of Waterbeach is clearly defined to the west of the site. The site, particularly the northern part of the site, does not relate well to the village but to the treed land that opens out to the east onto the adjoining flat agricultural fields. The site has a rural character and creates a soft edge to the village. The presence of the railway line to the east does not mean that the village should automatically expand outwards towards it. Development would be detrimental to the rural character and setting of this part of the village. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | | Significant Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) - The site is located to the east of the historic village core and to the north of the site of nationally important Waterbeach Abbey. The tower of St John's Church provides a distinctive landmark within Waterbeach, being visible from many viewpoints to the east and south of the village. Site forms an important part of the setting of a Grade II Listed and adverse impact due to loss of wooded and open green backdrop and streetscape. Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | climate change | efficiency? | | |
| | (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| alth | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). Assumption is standard requirements for open space would apply. |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| | | Travelling Showpeople? | | |
| Inclusive Co | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | 0 | Minor Rural Centre |
| JMI. | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | + | 482m from the centre of the site to a point along Chapel Street. Services and |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involving the community | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Distance to centre Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | facilities clustered around surrounding area. No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|-------|---|
| ш | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 22.54 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 8 employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | +++/+ | Development can use existing capacity in utilities infrastructure. However, there is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is approaching capacity and will require investigation and possibly mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - / | Insufficient spare school capacity but potential for improvement to meet needs. Insufficient primary and secondary school capacity. |

| | | | | , |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | 0 | Total score of 14. |
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | + | 440m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | - | Hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | + | 25 Minutes from Waterbeach to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 8.75km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | / - | Minor negative effects incapable of mitigation. Access constraints - The access link to the public highway is unsuitable to serve the number of units that are being proposed. On the whole, the in-fill sites are less likely to present an unacceptable pressure on the A14 given the majority of travel demand will be focused on Cambridge and credible alternatives to car travel could be available. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 092
Address The Paddock, End of Mangers Lane, Duxford
Location Duxford
Category of site Group Village
Site area 0.41

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|--|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| Land | Minimise the irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | efficiently? Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| ⊳ | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | 0 | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated |
| l Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | - | Development would result in minor loss of Green Infrastructure, incapable of mitigation |

| | Maintain and enhance the diversity | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | - | Minor Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - this part of the village is a sensitive location with a distinctly rural character. |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| Landso | and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with townscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - site important to the character of the Conservation Area, the historic core of the village and its tranquillity. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | | Significant Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) - site forms an important part of the setting of the Grade I Listed church, Conservation Area, and the historic core of the village. Archaeological potential will require further information but it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| ige | | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy efficiency? | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| Φ | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| . | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |
|--|--|--|-----|---|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? | | |
| DG | | Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople? | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Com | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | | Group Village |
| mu | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | +++ | 203m to village hall ACF from centre of the site - Duxford has long centre along |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involv | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Distance to centre Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | Main road. No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| equalities and | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| nd involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| nmunity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|-------|---|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 24.97 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 2 employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | +++/+ | Development can use existing capacity in utilities infrastructure. The sewerage network is approaching capacity and will require investigation and possibly mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed |

| | 1 | 1 | | |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | 0 | Total score of 12. |
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 170m ACF from centre of the site to nearest bus stop to best service. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | - | Hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | | 54 Minutes from Duxford to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 9.62km ACF centre of site to Saffron Walden Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | - | Negative effects incapable of mitigation. Access constraints - the access link to the public highway is unsuitable to serve the number of units that are being proposed. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 093
Address Land at Mill Road, Gamlingay
Location Gamlingay
Category of site Minor Rural Centre
Site area 1.18

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|--|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| | Minimise the | Will it use land | | |
| Land | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | efficiently? Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | 0 | Development would not affect best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2). |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| | | Will it maintain or | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | improve air quality? Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | 0 | quality acceptable. Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated |
| al Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | environment? Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| Landscap | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | 0 | Neutral impact (generally compatible, or capable of being made compatible with local landscape character). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. Development of this site would not have a material effect upon the landscape setting of Gamlingay. The landscape setting to the south would remain essentially unchanged and it would not detract from the landscape and townscape value of the Listed Building at 61 Mill Street aka 6 Honey Hill which looks to the south down Mill Lane. Development of this site would not detract from the landscape and townscape value of the Listed Building at 61 Mill Street aka 6 Honey Hill which looks to the south down Mill Lane. Neutral impact (generally compatible, or capable of being made compatible with local townscape character). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be |
|--|---|--|-----|---|
| e, Towns | | Will it protect or | | achieved through the development process. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | 0/- | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. A number of Grade II Listed Buildings lie opposite on the eastern side of Mill Street. Adverse effects on settings of LBs along Mill Street and Honey Hill. |
| | | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | Will it promote energy efficiency? | | |
| | | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| <i>3</i> * | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |
| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |

| | | T | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing | | |
| | housing | population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople? | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Con | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | 0 | Minor Rural Centre |
| nmu | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | + | 439m ACF from the centre of the site to a cross road location which illustrates a |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involving the community | accessibility of services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Distance to centre Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | main cluster of services and facilities within the village. No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| qualities an | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| nd involving the com | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|--|--|---|--|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | 0 | 36.29 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. No employment areas with 2000+ employees can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places. | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The WWTW is operating close to capacity and the sewerage network is at capacity and both will require mitigation. |
| | piaces, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | + | Insufficient spare school capacity but potential for improvement to meet needs |

| | | | | , |
|-----------|--|---|-----|---|
| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | 0 | Total score of 14. |
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 373m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | | Less than an hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | + | 30 Minutes from Gamlingay to St. Neots. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 6.84km ACF from the centre of the site to Sandy Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | 0 | No capacity constraints identified, safe access can be achieved. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | + | The Highway Authority will require new development to provide or contribute to the provision of infrastructure to encourage more sustainable transport links both on and off site. Provision or contribution from this site would result in minor improvement to public transport, walking or cycling facilities. |

Site No. Site 095
Address Rear of Arcadia Gardens, Oakington
Location Oakington
Category of site Group Village
Site area 0.41

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|---|---|-------|--|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| | NAIminaia a de a | Will it use land | | |
| | Minimise the irreversible loss of | efficiently? Will it protect and | | |
| Land | undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | 0 | Development would not affect best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2). |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| <u>A</u> : | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | - | Development could impact on air quality, with minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation. A sewage pumping station is adjacent to the south western edge of |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | - | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some minor to moderate additional off-site road traffic noise generation. |
| nvironmenta | mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated |
| Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |
| | Maintain and enhance the diversity | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | - | Minor Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - Arcadia Gardens is an area of backland residential development and development of this site would create a further area of backland development that would not relate well to the largely linear character of the village. The paddocks between the village and brook, along the eastern edge of Oakington, create a soft edge and rural character to the village, which would be lost if developed |

| Landscape, Tov | landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | - | Minor Negative Impact (development conflicts with townscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - Arcadia Gardens is an area of backland residential development and development of this site would create a further area of backland development that would not relate well to the largely linear character of the village. The paddocks between the village and brook, along the eastern edge of Oakington, create a soft edge and rural character to the village, which would be lost if developed |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy efficiency? | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | | Flood Zone 3 (or other form of flood risk incapable of appropriate mitigation) - the whole site is within Flood Zone 3a. |
| v | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| 5 | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). Assumption is standard requirements for open space would apply. |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? | | |
| JG | | Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople? | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Com | Improve the quality, range and accessibility of services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involvi | | Sub-Indicator: Distance to centre Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | 394m ACF to the Post Office, a central point in the village which is surrounded by other services and facilities. No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| nequalities and | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| id involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| nmunity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| Economic Activity | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The assumption is that the local centre proposed will only be of a suitable scale to serve needs of new residents and will not impact on other centres. |
| | | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 19.57 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 9 employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The WWTW is operating close to capacity and the sewerage network is at capacity and both will require mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | + | Insufficient spare school capacity but potential for improvement to meet needs. Insufficient secondary school capacity. |

| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | + | Total score of 18. |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 176m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | + | 20 Minute Service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | + | 21 Minutes from Oakington to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 6.84km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | | Insufficient capacity or access constraints that cannot be adequately mitigated. The proposed site does not appear to have a direct link to the adopted public highway |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 096
Address Cottons Field, Dry Drayton
Location Dry Drayton
Category of site Group Village
Site area 1.51

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|---|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| | Minimise the | efficiently? | | |
| Land | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site but all Grade 2 (1.51 ha.). |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| Þ | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | - | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some minor to moderate additional road traffic noise generation impact on existing residential due to development related car movements but dependent on location of site entrance |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated |
| ll Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). A group of protected trees runs along the southern and eastern edges of the site. A further group lies approximately 35m to the north. |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. A footpath cuts across the northern part of the site from east to west, and another path runs along the eastern boundary of the site, part of a network paths. |

| | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The site lies in the heart of the village, in an area where several public rights of way provide access into the countryside. Development of this site would have a detrimental impact on the setting of the Listed Building adjoining the site and on an important area of open space and alter the character of this rural aspect to the village. |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| Landscape, To | landscape and townscape character | | 1 | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with townscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The site lies in the heart of the village, in an area where several public rights of way provide access into the countryside. Development of this site would have a detrimental impact on the setting of the Listed Building adjoining the site and on an important area of open space and alter the character of this rural aspect to the village. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | | Significant Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) - The site forms part of the setting of a Grade II* and several Grade II Listed Buildings. Archaeological potential will require further information but it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy efficiency? | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| Ф | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| alth | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). Assumption is standard requirements for open space would apply. |
|--|--|---|-----|--|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Cor | Improve the quality, | Showpeople? Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| mmun | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | +++ | 109m ACF to Park Street, central to the village in relation to the services and facilities within the village. |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involv | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| qualities an | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| nd involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). Assumption is standard requirements for open space would apply. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|--|--|---|--|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The assumption is that the local centre proposed will only be of a suitable scale to serve needs of new residents and will not impact on other centres. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 19.49 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 3 employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The WWTW and sewerage network is close to capacity and both will require mitigation. Part of the village is served by mains gas supply. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed. Insufficient secondary school capacity. |

| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | 0 | Total score of 14. |
|-----------|--|---|-----|---|
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 147m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | | Less than hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | + | 25 Minutes from Dry Drayton to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 7.81km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | 0/- | No capacity constraints identified, safe access can be achieved. A14 capacity issues. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 097
Address Land at and to the rear of 16 The Lanes, Over Location Over
Category of site Group Village
Site area 1.11

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|--|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | 0 | The site includes one residential property. |
| | Minimise the | efficiently? | | |
| | irreversible loss of | Will it protect and | | |
| Land | undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site but all Grade 2 (1.11 ha.) |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| Ð. | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | - | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Some minor to moderate additional road traffic noise generation impact on existing residential due to development related car movements but dependent on location of site entrance. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated |
| l Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds) |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. A footpath runs along the southern edge of the site and a byway lies approximately 42m to the south east. |

| | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - Development on this site has previously been adjudged to be harmful to the character to this open and historic part of the village by independent planning inspectors. Development of this site would constitute back land development, poorly related to the existing built form, and harmful to the character of the village. |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| Landscape, To | landscape and | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with townscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - Development on this site has previously been adjudged to be harmful to the character to this open and historic part of the village by independent planning inspectors. Development of this site would constitute back land development, poorly related to the existing built form, and harmful to the character of the village. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | | Significant Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) - It would not be possible to mitigate any impact on the historic environment as the site forms an important part of the setting of a Grade I Listed church, several Grade II Listed Buildings and the Conservation Area. Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | efficiency? Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| Ф | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| <u> </u> | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). Assumption is standard requirements for open space would apply. |
|---|--|---|-----|---|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? | | |
| - I | | Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople? | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Com | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| nmur | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | +++ | 231m from the centre of the site to a point along The Lanes, a central point in the |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involvi | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Distance to centre Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | village surrounded by services and facilities. No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| equalities an | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| nd involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| nmunity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|--|
| m | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The assumption is that the local centre proposed will only be of a suitable scale to serve needs of new residents and will not impact on other centres. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 15.89 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 3 employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The sewerage network is approaching capacity and will require mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed. Insufficient primary and secondary school places. |

| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | + | Total score of 16. |
|-----------|--|---|-----|---|
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 111m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | - | Hourly Service |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | + | 51 minutes from Over to Cambridge; 28 minutes from Over to St. Ives |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 5.91km ACF from the centre of the site to St. Ives Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | 0 | No capacity constraints identified, safe access can be achieved. A14 capacity issues. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 098
Address Land to the east of Cherry Hinton Road, Teversham Location Teversham
Category of site Group Village
Site area 8.19

| Theme | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|---|
| | Minimise the | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land efficiently? | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| Land | irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site but all Grade 2 (8.19 ha.). |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| A | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | - | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Close to Airport Way and Marshalls Airport potential for noise. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | + | Site to south of an area of filled land. Potential for minor benefits through remediation of minor contamination |
| al Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. A footpath lies approximately 178m to the south. |

| | Maintain and enhance the diversity | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The site forms part of the setting of the SAM, Conservation Area and a Grade II Listed Building, but with careful design it should be possible to mitigate impact of development within Site 099 with landscape screening on southern edge. |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| Landscape | and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | | Significant Negative Impact (Development conflicts with townscape character, with significant negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The site forms part of the setting of the SAM, Conservation Area and a Grade II Listed Building, but with careful design it should be possible to mitigate impact of development within Site 099 with landscape screening on southern edge. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | | Significant Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) - Site forms part of the setting of the SAM, Conservation Area and a Grade II Listed Building. Archaeological potential will require further information but it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy efficiency? | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| ΙŦ | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | - | Development would result in loss of public open space, minor impacts incapable of mitigation. Part of the site is an amenity area. |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| | | Showpeople? | | |
| Inclusive Cor | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| nmu | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: | + | 500m ACF from the centre of the site to Church Road, central to services and |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involvi | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | facilities within the village. No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| qualities an | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| nd involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to | | |
| com | income | reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). Assumption is standard requirements for open space would apply. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|--|
| ш | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The assumption is that the local centre proposed will only be of a suitable scale to serve needs of new residents and will not impact on other centres. |
| | | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 20.56 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 6 employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | - | Major utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. The electricity, mains water, gas and sewerage systems will need reinforcement to increase capacity. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed. |

| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | + | Total score of 15. |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 324m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | | Less than hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | 0 | 31 Minutes from Teversham to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | +++ | 4.84km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | | Insufficient capacity or access constraints that cannot be adequately mitigated. The access link to the public highway is unsuitable to serve the number of units that are being proposed. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 099
Address Land to the south of Pembroke Way, Teversham Location Teversham
Category of site Group Village
Site area 2.07

| Them e | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|--|---|-------|---|
| | | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| Land | Minimise the irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and | efficiently? Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - small site but all Grade 2 (2.07 ha.). |
| & Soil Resources | productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| Þ | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | - | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. Close to Airport Way and Marshalls Airport potential for noise. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | + | Potential for minor benefits through remediation of minor contamination as site near airport. |
| l Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process, e.g. as part of Sustainable Drainage Systems (Suds). |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. A footpath runs across the amenity part of the site. |

| | | | | Minor Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, minor |
|--|---|--|-----|---|
| | Maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | -/0 | negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The site forms part of the setting of the Conservation Area and a Grade II Listed Building, but with careful design it should be possible to mitigate impact of development with landscape screening on southern edge. |
| Landsca | | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | | Minor Negative Impact (Development conflicts with townscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The site forms part of the setting of the Conservation Area and a Grade II Listed Building, but with careful design it should be possible to mitigate impact of development with landscape screening on southern edge. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | - | Minor Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) — The site forms part of the setting of the Conservation Area and a Grade II Listed Building, but with careful design it should be possible to mitigate impact of development with landscape screening on southern edge. |
| je | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | Minimise impacts on climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | | efficiency? Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Flood Zone 1 and no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. |
| | | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |
| Health | Maintain and enhance human health | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? | | |
| | Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |

| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | - | Development would result in loss of public open space, minor impacts incapable of mitigation. Part of the site is an amenity area. |
|--|--|---|-----|--|
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? Will it provide for housing for the ageing population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople? | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Con | Improve the quality, range and accessibility of services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| nmur | | Sub-Indicator: | +++ | 354m ACF from the centre of the site to Church Road, central to services and |
| Inclusive Communities - Redressing inequalities and involv | | Distance to centre Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| qualities ar | | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? | | |
| nd involving the community | Redress inequalities related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | Will it redress inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). Assumption is standard requirements for open space would apply. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. |
|-------------------|---|--|---|---|
| | | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The assumption is that the local centre proposed will only be of a suitable scale to serve needs of new residents and will not impact on other centres. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | + | 18.28 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 5 employment areas with 2000+ employees that can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | + | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The WWTW and the sewerage network is at capacity and both will require mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed. |

| _ | | , | | , |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | + | Total score of 15. |
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 170m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | | Less than hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | 0 | 31 Minutes from Teversham to Cambridge. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | +++ | 4.88km ACF from the centre of the site to Cambridge Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | 0 | No capacity constraints identified, safe access can be achieved. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact. |

Site No. Site 100
Address Land north of Gables Close, Meldreth
Location Meldreth
Category of site Group Village
Site area 1.16

| Them e | Sustainability Objective | Decision Making Criteria | Score | Notes |
|---|---|---|-------|---|
| | Minimise the irreversible loss of undeveloped land, economic mineral reserves, and productive agricultural holdings and the degradation / loss of soils | Will it use land that has been previously developed? Will it use land | 0 | No previously developed land. |
| Land & | | efficiently? Will it protect and enhance the best and most versatile agricultural land? | - | Minor loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1 and 2) - Grade 2. |
| & Soil Resources | | Will it avoid the sterilisation of economic mineral reserves? Will it minimise the degradation/loss of soils due to new development' | 0 | Site not within an area designated in the Minerals and Waste LDF. |
| | Minimise waste production and support the reuse and recycling of waste products | Will it encourage reduction in household waste, and increase waste recovery and recycling? | | |
| ⊳ | | Will it maintain or improve air quality? | 0 | Development unlikely to impact on air quality. Site lies in an area where air quality acceptable. |
| Air Quality and Environmental Pollution | Improve air quality and minimise or mitigate against sources of environmental pollution | Minimise, and where possible improve on, unacceptable levels of noise, light pollution, odour and vibration? | 0 | Development compatible with neighbouring uses. |
| nvironmenta | | Will it minimise, and where possible address, land contamination? | 0 | Development not on land likely to be contaminated |
| I Pollution | | Will it protect and where possible enhance the quality of the water environment? | 0 | Development unlikely to affect water quality. Assumptions for a neutral impact are that appropriate standards and pollution control measures will achieved through the development process and will mitigate any impact on groundwater. |
| | Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species | Will it conserve protected species and protect sites designated for nature conservation interest and geodiveristy? | 0 | No impact on protected sites and species (or impacts could be mitigated). |
| Biodiversity | Maintain and enhance the range and viability of characteristic habitats and species | Will it reduce habitat fragmentation, enhance native species, and help deliver habitat restoration (helping to achieve Biodiversity Action Plan Targets)? | 0 | Assumptions for a neutral impact are that existing features that warrant retention can be retained or appropriate mitigation will be achieved through the development process. |
| | Improve opportunities for people to access and appreciate wildlife and green spaces | Will it improve access to wildlife and green spaces, through delivery and access to green infrastructure? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained, or appropriate mitigation possible). Assumptions for a neutral impact include that appropriate design and mitigation measures would be achieved through the development process. |

| | ı | T | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| | Maintain and enhance the diversity | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape character? | - | Minor Negative Impact (Development conflicts with landscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The rural character of the High Street in the historic core of the village is a key characteristic. Important building blocks are the detached properties in large gardens with mature trees and hedgerows and the enclosed fields and paddocks to the rear of the High Street properties. Development of this site would have an adverse effect on the landscape of Meldreth by building on one of these enclosed fields and paddocks. The impact of this could be partly mitigated by a low density scheme which sought to retain most of the trees and hedgerows on the site. |
| Landscape, Townscap | and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character | Will it maintain and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of townscape character? | - | Minor Negative Impact (Development conflicts with townscape character, minor negative impacts incapable of mitigation) - The rural character of the High Street in the historic core of the village is a key characteristic. Important building blocks are the detached properties in large gardens with mature trees and hedgerows and the enclosed fields and paddocks to the rear of the High Street properties. Development of this site would have an adverse effect on the townscape of Meldreth by building on one of these enclosed fields and paddocks. The impact of this could be partly mitigated by a low density scheme which sought to retain most of the trees and hedgerows on the site. |
| Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Heritage | Avoid damage to areas and sites designated for their historic interest, and protect their settings. | Will it protect or enhance sites, features or areas of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest (including conservation areas, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens and scheduled monuments)? | - | Minor Negative Impact on historic Assets (incapable of satisfactory mitigation) — site forms an part of the setting of the Conservation Area and of a number of Listed Buildings. Archaeological potential will require further information but the assumption for a neutral impact is that it is likely appropriate mitigation can be achieved through the development process. |
| | Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look good | Will it lead to developments built to a high standard of design and good place making that reflects local character? | | |
| | | Will it support the use of renewable energy resources? Will it promote energy efficiency? | 0 | Standard requirements for renewables would apply. |
| | (including greenhouse gas emissions) | Will it minimise contributions to climate change through sustainable construction practices? | | |
| Climate Change | | Will it minimise risk to people and property from flooding, and incorporate sustainable drainage measures? | 0 | Great majority of site in Flood Zone 1 with no drainage issues that cannot be appropriately addressed. Small part of site in Flood Zone 3. |
| | Reduce vulnerability to future climate change effects | Will it minimise the likely impacts of climate change on the development through appropriate design? | | |
| | | Will it use water in a sustainable manner, and enable and encourage high levels of water efficiency? | | |

| Health | Maintain and enhance human health Reduce and prevent crime and reduce fear of crime | Will it promote good health, encourage healthy lifestyles, and reduce health inequalities? Will it reduce actual levels of crime, and will it reduce fear of crime? | | |
|--|--|---|-----|---|
| | Improve the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space. | Will it increase the quantity and quality of publically accessible open space? | 0 | Neutral impact (existing features retained or appropriate mitigation). |
| Inclusive Communities - Housing | Ensure all groups have access to | Will it support the provision of a range of housing types and sizes, including affordable and key worker housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community? | | |
| s - Hou | decent, appropriate and affordable | Will it provide for housing for the ageing | | |
| sing | housing | population? Will it provide for the housing accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople? | 0 | No effect on pitch or plot provision. |
| Inclusive Communities - Redress | Improve the quality, | Will it improve accessibility to key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs, sports facilities etc?) | - | Group Village |
| muni | range and accessibility of | Sub-Indicator: Distance to centre | +++ | 347m from the centre of the site to the village hall which is surrounded by a cluster of other services and facilities. |
| ties - Redressing ine | services and facilities (e.g. health, transport, education, training, leisure opportunities) | Will it improve quality and range of key local services and facilities including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc?) | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| qualities and i | Redress inequalities | Will improve relations between people from different backgrounds or social groups? Will it redress | | |
| ing inequalities and involving the community | related to age, disability, gender assignment race, faith, location and income | inequalities? Will it increase the ability of people to influence decisions, including 'hard to reach' groups? | | |
| munity | Encourage and enable the active involvement of local people in community activities | Will it encourage engagement with community activities? | 0 | No facilities lost, and no new facilities proposed directly as a result of the development. |
| | | Will it support business development and enhance competitiveness, enabling provision of high-quality employment land in appropriate locations to meet the needs of businesses, and the workforce? | 0 | Development would have no effect on employment land or premises. Riding School on site has ceased operation. |

| | Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy. | Will it promote the industries that thrive in the district – the key sectors such as research and development /high tech/ Cambridge university related particularly through the development and expansion of clusters? | | |
|-------------------|---|--|-----|--|
| Economic Activity | | Will it protect the shopping hierarchy, supporting the vitality and viability of Cambridge, town, district and local centres? | 0 | Development would have no effect on vitality or viability of existing centres. The indicator is likely to apply particularly to sites which include retail, offices, or leisure uses. |
| | Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to | Will it encourage the rural economy and diversification, and support sustainable tourism? | | |
| | their skills, potential and place of residence | Will it contribute to providing a range of employment opportunities, in accessible locations? | +++ | 7.42 minutes from site to nearest employment area with 2000+ employees. 2 employment areas with 2000+ employees can be accessed in less than 30mins - 1.6km walk. |
| | Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and other infrastructure | Will it improve the level of investment in key community services and infrastructure, including broadband? | - | Minor Utilities Infrastructure improvements required, but constraints can be addressed. There is insufficient spare mains water capacity within the distribution zone to supply the number of proposed properties which could arise if all the SHLAA sites within the zone were to be developed. The WWTW is operating close to capacity and the sewerage network is at capacity and both will require mitigation. |
| | | Will it improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? | - | School capacity not sufficient, but significant issues can be adequately addressed. |

| | | Will it enable shorter journeys, improve modal choice and integration of transport modes to encourage or facilitate the use of modes such as walking, cycling and public transport? | + | Total score of 16. |
|-----------|--|---|-----|--|
| | Reduce the need to travel and promote | Sub-indicator: Distance to bus stop / rail station | +++ | 189m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest bus stop. 689m ACF from the centre of the site to the nearest train station. |
| | more sustainable transport choices. | Sub-indicator: Frequency of Public Transport | | Bus - less than hourly service. Train - hourly service. |
| Transport | | Sub-indicator: Typical Public Transport Journey Time to Cambridge City Centre or Market Town | +++ | Bus - 15 minutes from Meldreth to Royston. Train - 4 minutes from Meldreth to Royston. |
| | | Sub-indicator: Distance for cycling to City Centre or Market Town | + | 5.89km ACF from the centre of the site to Royston Market. |
| | Secure appropriate investment and development in transport infrastructure, and ensure the safety of the transport network. | Will it provide safe access to the highway network, where there is available capacity? | - | Minor negative effects incapable of mitigation. Access constraints - the access link to the public highway is unsuitable to serve the number of units that are being proposed. |
| | | Will it make the transport network safer for and promote use of non-motorised modes? | 0 | No impact |