

Compulsory Purchase Order - The Tree Stapleford

PROJECT RISK LOG				Date Issued	30/01/2018	Version Number	4.0		
Project Name		CPO The Tree, 9 Bar Lane, Stapleford, CB22 5BJ							
Project Manager		[REDACTED]							
Project Sponsor		[REDACTED]							
Ref.	Title and Description of risk The risk event, leading to consequence for service/ Aim(s)/ Action(s), resulting in possible outcome(s).	Control measures in place	Risk score	Direction of travel	Risk owner / Review frequency	Additional control measures	Additional cost resources required	Adjusted risk score (where relevant)	Timeline to progress
■	[REDACTED] I [REDACTED] I [REDACTED] I [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] I I ■		PM - monthly			Impact Likelihood Total	
■	[REDACTED] I [REDACTED] I [REDACTED] I [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] I I ■		PM - monthly			Impact Likelihood Total	

Ref.	Title and Description of risk The risk event, leading to consequence for service/ Aim(s)/ Action(s), resulting in possible outcome(s).	Control measures in place	Risk score	Direction of travel	Risk owner / Review frequency	Additional control measures	Additional cost resources required	Adjusted risk score (where relevant)		Timeline to progress
03	<p>The project results in higher than expected costs for the community group, leading to the termination of the CPO process before completion, resulting in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the ACV not acquired by the community; costs incurred; reputational damage to the District Council 	<p>PM and legal advisors maintain constant contact and positive working relationship; officers undertake as much of the project work as possible.</p>	<p>Impact 4 Likelihood 3 Total 12</p>		<p>PM - monthly</p>			<p>Impact Likelihood Total</p>		
04	<p>PROJECT - EXTERNAL</p> <p>The CPO is contested leading to a public enquiry, possibly resulting in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> failure by the District Council as the Acquiring Authority to complete the CPO; reputational damage to the District Council; failure to preserve the community asset; increased costs to the District Council 	<p>Good relationships fostered between the Council and the owner/ agent.</p> <p>Legal case and 'statement of reasons' is robust in the face of challenge.</p>	<p>Impact 4 Likelihood 3 Total 12</p>		<p>PM / legal - Monthly</p>					
05	<p>PROJECT - EXTERNAL</p> <p>Cabinet / Council refuses the request / recommendation to pursue a CPO leading to a termination of the project at that stage, resulting in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> disappointment for the community group and a possible repeat request / challenge; reputational damage to the District Council; costs incurred (by the Tree Community Ltd and/or the District Council) unnecessarily <p>STRATEGIC - INTERNAL</p>	<p>PM maintains positive, supportive and informative relationship with Community group to minimise negative impact of any such decision.</p>	<p>Impact 3 Likelihood 3 Total 9</p>		<p>PM - monthly</p>			<p>Impact Likelihood Total</p>		

Ref.	Title and Description of risk <i>The risk event, leading to consequence for service/ Aim(s)/ Action(s), resulting in possible outcome(s).</i>	Control measures in place	Risk score	Direction of travel	Risk owner / Review frequency	Additional control measures	Additional cost resources required	Adjusted risk score <i>(where relevant)</i>	Timeline to progress
					PM- monthly (front-loaded)			Impact Likelihood Total	
07	<p>The Secretary of State refuses the District Council's application for the Compulsory Purchase Order, leading to the termination of the process, resulting in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> failure by the District Council as the Acquiring Authority to complete the CPO; reputational damage to the District Council; failure to preserve the community asset; increased costs 	A robust 'statement of reasons' is produced.	Impact 3 Likelihood 3 Total 9		PM/ legal-application stage				
	<p>PROJECT - EXTERNAL</p> <p></p>				PM - monthly			Impact Likelihood Total	

Ref.	Title and Description of risk The risk event, leading to consequence for service/ Aim(s)/ Action(s), resulting in possible outcome(s).	Control measures in place	Risk score		Direction of travel	Risk owner / Review frequency	Additional control measures	Additional cost resources required	Adjusted risk score (where relevant)		Timeline to progress
			Impact	Likelihood					Impact	Likelihood	
12	Contractual arrangements fail properly to indemnify the District Council against all costs associated with the CPO, leading to financial liabilities, resulting in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> unexpected costs to the District Council; reputational damage to the District Council PROJECT - EXTERNAL/ INTERNAL	Contracts / indemnity arrangements are robust and any liability to rest with legal advisors	Impact Likelihood Total	4 1 4							
13	A change in legislation or national policy, leading to an additional change requirement, resulting in the project outputs being incorrect / unfit for purpose. STRATEGIC - EXTERNAL	Monitoring of national policy, case studies and good practice guidance. Regular meetings between PM, line manager and PS to ensure all involved are up to date	Impact Likelihood Total	2 1 2		PM/ legal - monthly			Impact Likelihood Total		
14	Ownership of/ charges on the property are such that the process is more complicated, resulting in delays and increased costs. PROJECT - EXTERNAL	Checks to be done at the early stage of the process and notices served as appropriate and in a timely manner.	Impact Likelihood Total	2 1 2		PM/ legal- beginning of process					

Notes

- The "Ref." will be a unique risk reference, retained by the risk throughout the period of its inclusion in the risk register.
- Criteria and guidelines for assessing Impact and Likelihood are available on In-Site under Corporate Information > Risk Management.
- The "Total" risk score is obtained by multiplying the Impact score by the Likelihood score.
- The "Adjusted risk score" would result from re-evaluation of the Impact and Likelihood, taking the additional control measures into account.
- The dotted line (-----) shows the Council's risk tolerance line.
- The "Timeline to progress" is the date (usually Month Year) by which it is planned that the risk will be mitigated to below the line.

<u>Impact</u>	JS	<u>Likelihood</u>	<u>Direction of Travel</u>
5 Extreme		5 Almost certain	J, Priority reduced from last review (give the previous Total score in the brackets)
4 High		4 Likely	Priority equal to last review
3 Medium		3 Possible	t Priority increased from last review (give the previous Total score in the brackets)
2 Low		2 Unlikely	new Risk included in the risk register for the first time
1 Insignificant		1 Rare	