

Delegation meeting- Minutes

Date: 30 June 2020Time: 11am to 12:30pmMeeting held: via Teams

 Attendees: Chris Carter (CC), Cllr John Batchelor (JB), Cllr Pippa Heylings (PH), Alice Young (AY), Mike Huntington (MH), Karen Pell-Coggins (KPC), Lorraine Casey (LC), Julie Ayre (JA), Toby Williams (TW)

• Notes and actions: Jemma Smith

Minutes approved by: Cllr John Batchelor (Consultant), Cllr Pippa Heylings (Consultant) on 01 July 2020 and Chris Carter (Delivery Manager – Strategic Sites) on 01 July 2020

20/02053/HFUL - 16 Cottenham Road, Histon, CB24 9ES Part single, part two storey side and rear extension (AY)

Reason for call-in request

All agreed to make a recommendation of refusal, proposal would have a detrimental impact to the conservatory of neighbouring property

Parish Council would like to request this application is heard by the Committee

Key considerations

The comments of the Parish Council were noted and considered carefully against the criteria for referral of applications to the planning committee.

Whilst the Parish Council has raised a material planning consideration in the relationship with the neighbouring property, it was agreed that this issue did not raise significant planning concerns and that the relationship of the applicant property with neighbours was a factor that would be considered carefully by officers in any event. The proposal does not raise any significant issues for policy, nor is it of a nature, scale and complexity that would warrant referral to planning committee. The planning history of the site also does not present any reason for a domestic scale proposal such as this to be referred to the planning committee.

Decision

Delegated Decision. See above



S/2560/18/PO - Morrisons Supermarket, Cambourne (MH)

Reason for call-in request

Amendment to s106 attached to S/6133/01/RM to increase net sales of clothing and footwear

Town Council objection - could be detrimental to the development of the High Street

Key considerations

It was noted that whilst the Town Council had raised an objection to the proposal, it had also commented that they were not referring the application to planning committee. The item was brought to the delegation meeting as it had been considered previously at a Chair's delegation meeting last year.

It was agreed that, given the view of the Town Council that this could be a delegated decision, this should be the outcome.

Decision

Delegated decision. The Town Council did not request the application to be referred to planning committee.

S/4295/19/FL Impington Village College - Erection of one two-storey building (Class D1) for educational use and erection of one single-storey building (Class D1) for educational use associated landscaping car parking and replacement grounds storage facility (KPC)

Reason for call-in request

Request from Councillor Heylings.



Key considerations

The application proposes a major development in the Green Belt and so would, in any event be referred to planning committee for decision.

Decision

Refer to planning committee. See above.