# Request 6732 – Planning, self-build

How many entries have been added to your register between 31 October 2018 and 30 October 2019?

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Individuals  [number] | Groups  [number] | Total individuals within Groups  [number] |
| Total |  |  |  |
| Of which |  |  |  |
| Part 1\* |  |  |  |
| Part 2\* |  |  |  |

\* The split into Part 1 and Part 2 is only relevant where a local connection test is in place (see below)

How many entries are on your register in total as at 30 October 2019?

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Individuals  [number] | Groups  [number] | Total individuals within Groups  [number] |
| Total |  |  |  |
| Of which |  |  |  |
| Part 1\* |  |  |  |
| Part 2\* |  |  |  |

\* The split into Part 1 and Part 2 is only relevant where a local connection test is in place (see below)

30 October 2019 is the date by which you must have ensured that you have permissioned sufficient development plots to match the numbers on your self-build register for the first base period (1 April 2016 to 30 October 2016). Have you met your statutory obligations? [yes/no]\*

In respect of your obligations provide details of:

The number of relevant permissions granted\*\*. [number]

The summary of the analysis that you produced and the policy you adopted in order to determine the suitable permissions. [free text]

The number of relevant entries on your register for this base period. [number]

The summary of the analysis that you produced and the policy approach that you have used to determine the relevant entries on your register. [free text]

The number of relevant entries on your register as at 30 October 2016. [number].

An explanation for any difference between (c) and (e).

Please note

\* That we plan to make a further FOI request in early 2020, if we identify that either: (1) you have not maintained records to demonstrate on 30 October 2019 that you have been able meet your statutory obligations, or (2) you have maintained records but have not met your statutory obligations. We will seek details of action plans developed at the point of our next request to address any underperformance.

\*\* We believe that the numbers of permissions granted needs to be collated from individual planning permissions, and therefore a list of relevant permissions should be available to support the response to 3(a). Please therefore provide this list and ensure that such a list is maintained going forwards.

Are you one of the minority to have introduced a local connection test? [yes/no]

If so, from what date did this apply? [date]

If so, please supply the supporting documentation (or a link to the documentation) setting out the rationale for introducing the test. [free text]

If so, please provide supporting documentation (or a link to the documentation) setting out any reviews undertaken post introduction to assess and review the impact of the test. [free text]

Are you one of the minority to have introduced a financial viability test? [yes/no]

If so, from what date did this apply? [date]

If so, please supply the supporting documentation (or a link to the documentation) setting out the rationale for introducing the test. [free text]

If so, please provide supporting documentation (or a link to the documentation) setting out any reviews undertaken post introduction to assess and review the impact of the test. [free text]

Are you one of the minority to have implemented a charge for entry onto the register? [yes/no]

If so, what is the current charge (amount, frequency)? [free text]

If so, from what date was a charge first applied? [date]

If so, please supply the supporting documentation (or a link to the documentation) setting out the rationale for introducing the charge, and the basis for the current charge. [free text]

If so, please provide supporting documentation (or a link to the documentation) setting out any reviews undertaken post introduction to assess and review the charges. [free text]

If you are one of the very few Councils to impose VAT on the charge; please details supporting the imposition of this charge. [free text]

Did you charge a Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) at any time during the year to 30 October 2019? [yes/no]

If so when was CIL introduced? [date]

If relevant, how many Self Build Exemptions did you issue in relation to individual plots during each of the following periods (note: some self build may also be exempt as affordable housing):

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Period | Self Build Exemptions |
| 1 April to 30 October 2016 |  |
| 31 October to 30 October 2017 |  |
| 31 October 2017 to 30 October 2018 |  |
| 31 October 2018 to 30 October 2019 |  |

## Response

How many entries have been added to your register between 31 October 2018 and 30 October 2019?

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Individuals  [number] | Groups  [number] | Total individuals within Groups  [number] |
| Total | 212 | 0 | 212 |
| Of which |  |  |  |
| Part 1\* | 169 | 0 | 169 |
| Part 2\* | 43 | 0 | 43 |

\* The split into Part 1 and Part 2 is only relevant where a local connection test is in place (see below)

How many entries are on your register in total as at 30 October 2019?

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Individuals  [number] | Groups  [number] | Total individuals within Groups  [number] |
| Total | 617 | 0 | 617 |
| Of which |  |  |  |
| Part 1\* | 460 | 0 | 460 |
| Part 2\* | 157 | 0 | 157 |

\* The split into Part 1 and Part 2 is only relevant where a local connection test is in place (see below)

30 October 2019 is the date by which you must have ensured that you have permissioned sufficient development plots to match the numbers on your self-build register for the first base period (1 April 2016 to 30 October 2016). Have you met your statutory obligations? [yes/no] \*

In respect of your obligations provide details of:

The number of relevant permissions granted\*\*. [401]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Planning Applications Reference** | **Number of Units** |
| S/3680/18/FL | 1 |
| S/3170/17/OL | 9 |
| S/4433/18//OL | 1 |
| S/3185/16/FL | 1 |
| S/4112/17/OL | 1 |
| S/0424/18/FL | 1 |
| S/0729/18/FL | 1 |
| S/0375/19/OL | 1 |
| S/2294/16/OL | 8 |
| S/0559/17/OL | 375 |
| S/2547/18/FL | 2 |
|  | 401 |

The summary of the analysis that you produced and the policy you adopted in order to determine the suitable permissions. [Planning applications are determined accordance with the relevant Local Plan polices and any other relevant material considerations]

The number of relevant entries on your register for this base period. [1 April 2016 to 30 October 2016 - 117 entries (Part1)]

The summary of the analysis that you produced and the policy approach that you have used to determine the relevant entries on your register. [Questions answered via online register]

The number of relevant entries on your register as at 30 October 2016. [117 (Part1)].

An explanation for any difference between (c) and (e).

Please note

\* That we plan to make a further FOI request in early 2020, if we identify that either: (1) you have not maintained records to demonstrate on 30 October 2019 that you have been able meet your statutory obligations, or (2) you have maintained records but have not met your statutory obligations. We will seek details of action plans developed at the point of our next request to address any underperformance.

\*\* We believe that the numbers of permissions granted needs to be collated from individual planning permissions, and therefore a list of relevant permissions should be available to support the response to 3(a). Please therefore provide this list and ensure that such a list is maintained going forwards.

Are you one of the minority to have introduced a local connection test? [No]

If so, from what date did this apply? [ n/a]

If so, please supply the supporting documentation (or a link to the documentation) setting out the rationale for introducing the test. **We currently collect local connection data but are not currently applying the test**

If so, please provide supporting documentation (or a link to the documentation) setting out any reviews undertaken post introduction to assess and review the impact of the test. [N/A]

Are you one of the minority to have introduced a financial viability test? [No]

If so, from what date did this apply? [n/a]

If so, please supply the supporting documentation (or a link to the documentation) setting out the rationale for introducing the test. [n/a]

If so, please provide supporting documentation (or a link to the documentation) setting out any reviews undertaken post introduction to assess and review the impact of the test. [n/a]

Are you one of the minority to have implemented a charge for entry onto the register? [No]

If so, what is the current charge (amount, frequency)? [n/a]

If so, from what date was a charge first applied? [n/a]

If so, please supply the supporting documentation (or a link to the documentation) setting out the rationale for introducing the charge, and the basis for the current charge. [n/a]

If so, please provide supporting documentation (or a link to the documentation) setting out any reviews undertaken post introduction to assess and review the charges. [n/a]

If you are one of the very few Councils to impose VAT on the charge; please details supporting the imposition of this charge. [n/a]

Did you charge a Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) at any time during the year to 30 October 2019? [no]