

RD/MC/160

Evidence regarding land south of the Cambridge Biomedical Campus

Part 7 - Arboricultural Assessment



Cambridgeshire County Council
Cambridge Biomedical Campus

Arboricultural Assessment

September 2016

FPCR Environment and Design Ltd

Registered Office: Lockington Hall, Lockington, Derby DE74 2RH

Company No. 07128076. [T] 01509 672772 [F] 01509 674565 [E] mail@fpcr.co.uk [W] www.fpcr.co.uk

This report is the property of FPCR Environment and Design Ltd and is issued on the condition it is not reproduced, retained or disclosed to any unauthorised person, either wholly or in part without the written consent of FPCR Environment and Design Ltd. Ordnance Survey material is used with permission of The Controller of HMSO, Crown copyright 100018896.

Rev	Issue Status	Prepared / Date	Approved/Date
-	Draft	DS / 29.08.16	TJR / 30.08.16.16
-	Final	DJS / 16.09.16	DJS / 20.09.16

CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	2
2.0	METHODOLOGY.....	2
3.0	RESULTS.....	6
4.0	ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT (AIA)	7
5.0	TREE PROTECTION MEASURES	9
6.0	CONCLUSION	12

TABLES

Table 1: Summary of Trees by Retention Category

Table 2: Summary of Impact on Tree Stock

FIGURES

Figure 1: Assessment Boundary Plan (7307-A-01)

Figure 2: Tree Survey Plan (7307-A-02)

Figure 3: Tree Retention Plan (7307-A-03)

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Tree Schedule

Appendix B: Protective Fencing Specifications

1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This report has been prepared by FPCR Environment and Design Limited on behalf of Cambridgeshire County Council to present the findings of an Arboricultural Assessment and survey of trees located on land to the south of Dame Mary Archer Way (hereafter referred to as the site), OS Grid Ref TL 463 544, as shown in Figure 1. The survey was carried out on 26th May 2016.
- 1.2 The tree survey and assessment of existing trees has been carried out in accordance with guidance contained within British Standard 5837:2012 '*Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction - Recommendations*' (hereafter referred to as BS5837). The guidelines set out a structured assessment methodology to assist in determining which trees would be deemed either as being suitable or unsuitable for retention.
- 1.3 The guidance also provides recommendations for considering the relationship between existing trees and how those trees may integrate into designs for development; demolition operations and future construction processes so that a harmonious and sustainable relationship between any retained trees and built structures can be achieved.
- 1.4 The purpose of the report is therefore to firstly present the results of an assessment of the existing trees' arboricultural value, based on their current condition and quality and to secondly provide an assessment of impact arising from the proposed development of the site.
- 1.5 This report has been produced to accompany a proposed allocation of land for the development of Phase 3 of the Bio-Medical Campus to the south of Cambridge and has included an assessment of any impact to the tree cover. The site is approximately 8.91ha and will comprise a mix of office blocks and laboratories up to 3 storeys, multi-storey parking provision up to 4 storeys with associated roads and green infrastructure, including 5 – 15m landscape buffer around the boundaries. Potential access is proposed through the phase 2 consented development to the north of the site, connecting through to Dame Mary Archer Way. The survey has therefore focused on any trees present within or bordering the site that may potentially be affected by the future proposals or will pose a constraint to any proposed development.
- 1.6 The site consists of a single field compartment with boundary tree and hedgerow cover to the southern and western boundaries. The remaining boundaries were devoid of tree cover and no trees were positioned centrally within site.
- 1.7 It is understood following consultation with the Local Planning Authority, South Cambridgeshire District Council, that there are no Tree Preservation Orders or Conservation Area designations that would apply to any trees present on, or in close proximity to the assessment site and therefore no statutory constraints would apply to the development in respect of trees.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

- 2.1 The survey of trees has been carried out in accordance with the criteria set out in Chapter 4 of BS5837. The survey has been undertaken by a suitably qualified and experienced arboriculturalist and has recorded information relating to all those trees within the site and those adjacent to the site which may be of influence to any proposals. Trees were assessed for their

arboricultural quality and benefits within the context of the proposed development in a transparent, understandable and systematic way.

- 2.2 Trees have been assessed as groups where it has been determined appropriate. The term group has been applied where trees form cohesive arboricultural features either aerodynamically, visually or culturally including biodiversity or habitat potential for example parkland or wood pasture. An assessment of individual trees within groups has been made where a clear need to differentiate between them, for example, in order to highlight significant variation between attributes including physiological or structural condition or where a potential conflict may arise.
- 2.3 Trees have been divided into one of four categories based on Table 1 of BS5837, '*Cascade chart for tree quality assessment*'. For a tree to qualify under any given category it should fall within the scope of that category's definition (see below). Category U trees are those which would be lost in the short term for reasons connected with their physiology or structural condition. They are, for this reason not considered in the planning process on arboricultural grounds. Categories A, B and C are applied to trees that should be of material considerations in the development process. Each category also having one of three further sub-categories (i, ii, iii) which are intended to reflect arboricultural, landscape and cultural or conservation values accordingly.
- 2.4 **Category (U) – (Red):** Trees which are unsuitable for retention and are in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years. Trees within this category are:
 - Trees that have a serious irremediable structural defect such that their early loss is expected due to collapse and includes trees that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees.
 - Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate or irreversible overall decline.
 - Trees that are infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/ or safety of other nearby trees or are very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality.
 - Certain category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which may make it desirable to preserve.
- 2.5 **Category (A) – (Green):** Trees that are considered for retention and are of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years with potential to make a lasting contribution. Such trees may comprise:
 - Sub category (i) trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual, or are essential components of groups such as formal or semi-formal arboricultural features for example the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue.
 - Sub category (ii) trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and / or landscape features.
 - Sub category (iii) trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value for example veteran or wood pasture.
- 2.6 **Category (B) – (Blue):** Trees that are considered for retention and are of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years with potential to make a significant contribution. Such trees may comprise:

- Sub category (i) trees that might be included in category A but are downgraded because of impaired condition for example the presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage.
- Sub category (ii) trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality.
- Sub category (iii) trees with material conservation or other cultural value.

- 2.7 **Category (C) – (Grey):** Trees that are considered for retention and are of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm. Such trees may comprise:
- Sub category (i) unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories.
 - Sub category (ii) trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value or trees offering low or only temporary / transient screening benefits.
 - Sub category (iii) trees with no material conservation or other cultural value.

Tree Schedule

- 2.8 Appendix A presents details of any individual trees, groups and hedgerows found during the assessment including heights, diameters at breast height, crown spread (given as a radial measurement from the stem), age class, comments as to the overall condition at the time of inspection, BS5837 category of quality and suitability for retention and the root protection area.
- 2.9 General observations particularly of structural and physiological condition for example the presence of any decay and physical defect and preliminary management recommendations have also been recorded where appropriate.

Hedgerows

- 2.10 For the purposes of this assessment, a hedgerow is described as any boundary line of trees or shrubs less than 5m wide at the base and are managed under a regular pruning regime. Hedgerows and substantial internal or boundary hedges (including evergreen screens) have been recorded including lateral spread, height and stem diameter(s). Where trees are present within a hedgerow that are significantly different in character from the remainder, these have been identified and recorded separately.
- 2.11 A tree survey in accordance with BS5837 does not assess hedgerows against the Hedgerow Regulations 1997 or specifically from an ecological perspective, and is outside the scope of this assessment.

Other Considerations

- 2.12 It may be necessary during detailed design to undertake further assessment and accurate positioning of woody species within hedgerows and tree groups to assist structural calculations for foundation design of structures in accordance with current building regulations. Knowledge of

soil type was not known at the time of this tree assessment. If a current soil survey of the site has taken place then it must be read in conjunction with the results of the tree survey.

- 2.13 The exact position of individual trees or species included as part of a tree group, hedgerow or woodland should be checked and verified on site prior to any decisions for foundation design, tree operations or construction activity being undertaken. Further survey work would be required for calculating foundation depths in accordance with NHBC Chapter 4.2 Building near Trees.

Conditions of Tree Survey

- 2.14 The survey was completed from ground level only and from within the boundary of the site. Aerial tree inspections or the internal condition of the stem/s or branches were not undertaken at this stage as this level of survey is beyond the scope of the initial assessment. Evaluation of tree condition given within this assessment applies to the date of survey and cannot be assumed to remain unchanged. It may be necessary to review these within 12 months, in accordance with sound arboricultural practice.

Site Plans

- 2.15 Figure 1 (drawing no. 7307-A-01) identifies the assessment area including trees beyond the application boundary that may be affected by future development of the site and should not be considered as the application boundary.
- 2.16 The individual positions of trees and groups have been shown on the Tree Survey Plan, Figure 2 (drawing no. 7307-A-02). The positions of trees are based on a topographical / land survey, as far as possible, supplied by the client. Where topographical information has not identified the position of trees and hedgerows, their relation to any existing surrounding features has been plotted using a global positioning system and aerial photography to provide approximate locations. The crown spread, root protection area and shade pattern (where appropriate) are also indicated on this plan.
- 2.17 As part of the Arboricultural Impact Assessment, a Tree Retention Plan, Figure 3 (drawing no. 7307-A-03) has been prepared to show the proposed layout in relation to the existing tree cover allowing an assessment of any potential conflicts. The plan also identifies which trees would be required to be removed or retained as part of the proposed development.

Tree Constraints and Root Protection Areas

- 2.18 Below ground constraints to future development are represented by the area surrounding the tree containing sufficient rooting volume for the specimen to have the best chance of survival in the long term which is identified as the root protection area (RPA). The RPA has been calculated in accordance with section 4.6 of BS5837 and requires suitable protection in order for the tree to be successfully incorporated into any future scheme. Where applicable the shape of the Root Protection Area has been modified to take into account the presence of any nearby obstacles (existing or past) which may have restricted root growth and the likely root distribution i.e. the presence of hard standing, structures and underground apparatus.
- 2.19 Where groups of trees have been assessed, the Root Protection Area has been shown based on the maximum sized tree in any one group and so may exceed the Root Protection Area required

for some of the individual specimens within the group. Further detailed inspection of the individual trees forming a group may be required where development impacts upon the group.

- 2.20 Above ground constraints such as the current and potential crown spread of the trees and an illustration of the shade pattern (where appropriate) have been considered and identified within the Tree Survey Plan and Tree Retention Plan plans to indicate their potential area of shading influence.

3.0 RESULTS

- 3.1 One individual tree, one tree group and two hedgerows were surveyed as part of the Arboricultural Assessment. Refer to Figure 2 – Tree Survey Plan and Appendix A – Tree Schedule for full details of the trees included in this assessment. The table below summarises the trees assessed. Several of the trees have been discussed in more detail following the table, owing to their physical condition or arboricultural significance.

Table 1: Summary of Trees by Retention Category

	Individual Trees	Total	Groups of Trees	Total
Category U - Unsuitable		0		0
Category A (High Quality / Value)		0		0
Category B (Moderate Quality / Value)		0	G1, H1	2
Category C (Low Quality / Value)		1	H2	1

- 3.2 By virtue of the sites current use as arable farm land tree cover was restricted to the southern and western boundaries of the field compartment. The southern boundary tree group (G1) consisted of young, semi mature and mature trees including ash *Fraxinus excelsior*, blackthorn *Prunus spinosa*, field maple *Acer campestre*, hawthorn *Crataegus monogyna*, damson *Prunus insititia* and dogwood *Cornus sanguinea*. The tree cover had been allowed to outgrow vertically but had been managed laterally on the northern side through the use of tractor mounted flail mower. Dense ivy cover was observed on the main stems of many of the trees and small pieces of dead wood were present in the crown. Despite the minor defects observed G1 was considered retention category B for its moderate landscape value as buffer screening for the site.
- 3.3 Hedgerow H1 had been allowed to outgrown its original hedgerow form to provide a dense linear boundary to the west of the field compartment. Species forming the hedgerow included ash, blackthorn, field maple and hawthorn but was generally dominated by dogwood. The screening provided by the hedgerow and moderate quality of the contents resulted in H1 being considered retention category B.
- 3.4 T1 was a semi mature ash tree positioned at the southern end of H1 that had outgrown the surrounding hedgerow trees by approximately 5m and was therefore assessed separately. Although no major defects were noted at the time of the assessment T1 was considered to be of low arboricultural value and therefore retention category C.

- 3.5 Hedgerow H2 was positioned offsite beyond the northeastern corner and was also considered to be of limited quality and influence upon the site being considered retention category C.

4.0 ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT (AIA)

- 4.1 The following paragraphs present a summary of the tree survey and discussion of particular trees and groups recorded in the context of any proposed development in the form of an Arboricultural Impact Assessment in accordance with section 5.4 of BS5837. Any final tree retentions will need to be reconciled with the advice contained within this report.
- 4.2 The AIA has been based upon the Indicative Masterplan and seeks to outline the relationship between the proposals and the existing trees and hedgerows. The above drawing shows the proposals for phase 3 of the extension to the existing Cambridge Bio-Medical Campus to the south of Cambridge. The site is approximately 8.91ha and will comprise a mix of office blocks and laboratories up to 3 storeys, multi-storey parking provision up to 4 storeys with associated roads and green infrastructure, including 5 – 15m landscape buffer around the boundaries. Potential access is proposed through the phase 2 consented development to the north of the site, connecting through to Dame Mary Archer Way. An overlay of the above layout has been incorporated in the Tree Retention Plan (Figure 3) to assist in identifying the relationship and any potential conflicts between the proposals and the existing trees and hedgerows.
- 4.3 The proposals are currently in outline only and therefore further assessment at the Reserved Matters application stage will be required to ensure sufficient offset distances between the existing tree and hedgerow cover is achieved. Currently however, the proposals identify a large offset distance allowing extensive landscape buffers incorporating new tree planting and public footpaths adjacent to the southern boundary which are to connect into the existing public footpath network to the north, east and south of the site.
- 4.4 The proposals also identify an attenuation pond feature to the west of the site adjacent to H1 and T1. The final position of the pond will need to consider the extent of the crowns of the tree and hedgerow cover to prevent conflicts.
- 4.5 The current proposal identify the retention, incorporation and enhancement of all of the exiting tree and hedgerow features however, some minor loss of tree cover will be required where connections to the existing footpath are to be made. Further assessment of this minor impact will also need to be considered during a Reserved Matters application and / or an Arboricultural Method Statement following approval should permission for the scheme be granted.

New Tree Planting

- 4.6 New tree planting will form an integral part of the new development however, proposals for new tree planting should be appropriate for the future use of the site and not just aim to improve the existing tree population.
- 4.7 As part of the development proposals an adequate quantity of structured tree planting has been demonstrated indicatively within or close to hard landscaped areas of car parking or alongside the primary access roads within the roadside verges. The purpose and function of this new tree

planting should be understood from the start of any design stages so that key objectives from a landscape perspective can also be achieved.

- 4.8 The landscaping scheme should consider the use of both native tree species (for their low maintenance requirements and nature conservation value) and ornamental species (for their contribution to urban design and amenity value). Species choices should be selected on the basis of their suitability for the final site use. Furthermore, during the design process consultation should be made with the Local Planning Authority to obtain information on their tree strategy and incorporate the planting proposals with any local policies and initiatives and/or Biodiversity Action Plans (BAP).
- 4.9 Careful consideration would need to be given to the following: ultimate height and canopy spread, form, habit, density of crown, potential shading effect, colour, water demand, soil type and maintenance requirements in relation to both the built form of the new development and existing properties. Through careful species selection, the landscape scheme shall reduce the risk of trees being removed in the future on the grounds of nuisance. Nuisance can be perceived in a number of ways and vary from person to person however most commonly, within the context of trees, low overhanging branches, excessive shading, seasonal leaf fall and the misinformed perception that trees close to buildings cause damage.
- 4.10 Tree planting should be avoided where they may obstruct overhead power lines or cables. Any underground apparatus should be ducted or otherwise protected at the time of construction to enable trees to be planted without resulting in future conflicts.

Tree Management

- 4.11 The layout of the development is currently reserved for subsequent approval. In the course of a reserved matters application pursuant to layout, a review of the relationship between the layout and the retained trees should be undertaken by a qualified arboriculturalist to assess the existing tree cover and prepare a schedule of tree works.
- 4.12 All retained trees should be subjected to sound arboricultural management as recommended within section 8.8.3 of BS5837 *Post Development Management of Existing Trees*, where there is a potential for public access in order to satisfy the landowner's duty of care. Additionally, inspections annually and following major storms should be carried out by an experienced arboriculturalist or arborist to identify any potential public safety risks and to agree remedial works as required.
- 4.13 All tree works undertaken should comply with British Standard 3998:2010 and should therefore be carried out by skilled tree surgeons. It would be recommended that quotations for such work be obtained from Arboricultural Association Approved Contractors as this is the recognised authority for certification of tree work contractors.
- 4.14 All vegetation and, particularly, woody vegetation proposed for clearance should be removed outside of the bird-breeding season (March - September inclusive) as all birds are protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act, 1981 (as amended) whilst on the nest. Where this is not possible, vegetation should be checked for the presence of nesting birds prior to removal by an experienced ecologist.

General Design Principles in Relation to Retained Trees

- 4.15 In a subsequent Reserved Matters application following the final layout of the scheme, assessment of the distance of proposed development in relation to the calculated root protection area of retained trees should be made which will inform the final layout.
- 4.16 The routing of below ground services should also be considered with regard to the retained trees as part of a subsequent reserved matters application pursuant to layout. As recommended by the guidance given in section 7.7 of BS5837 services, where possible, should not encroach within the Root Protection Areas of retained trees. If below-ground services are proposed within a Root Protection Area, modifications to the alignment of the service route may need to be made in order to minimise adverse effects on root stability and overall tree health.
- 4.17 Consideration may also need to be given to the potential for tree roots of newly planted trees and hedgerows to affect or compromise the future services. As far as feasible, it would be preferable that proposed services near both the existing and any new planting should be ducted for ease of access and maintenance and grouped together to minimise any future disturbance.

5.0 TREE PROTECTION MEASURES

- 5.1 Retained trees will be adequately protected during works ensuring that the calculated root protection area for all retained trees can be appropriately protected through the erection of the requisite tree protection barriers. Measures to protect trees should follow the guidance in BS5837 and will be applied where necessary for the purpose of protecting trees within the site whilst allowing sufficient access for the implementation of the proposed layout. These have been broadly summarised below.

General Information and Recommendations

- 5.2 All trees retained on site will be protected by suitable barriers or ground protection measures around the calculated RPA, crown spread of the tree or other defined constraints of this assessment as detailed by section 6 and 7 of BS5837.
- 5.3 Barriers will be erected prior to commencement of any construction work and before demolition including erection of any temporary structures. Once installed, the area protected by fencing or other barriers will be regarded as a construction exclusion zone. Fencing and barriers will not be removed or altered without prior consultation with the Project Arboriculturalist.
- 5.4 Any trees that are not to be retained as part of the proposals should be felled prior to the erection of protective barriers. Particular attention needs to be given by site contractors to minimise damage or disturbance to retained specimens.
- 5.5 Where it has been agreed, construction access may take place within the root protection area if suitable ground protection measures are in place. This may comprise single scaffold boards over a compressible layer laid onto a geo-textile membrane for pedestrian movements. Vehicular movements over the root protection area will require the calculation of expected loading and the use of proprietary protection systems.

- 5.6 Confirmation that tree protective fencing or other barriers have been set out correctly should be gained prior to the commencement of site activity.

Tree Protection Barriers

- 5.7 Tree protection fencing should be fit for the purpose of excluding any type of construction activity and suitable for the degree and proximity of works to retained trees. Barriers must be maintained to ensure that they remain rigid and complete for the duration of construction activities on site.
- 5.8 In most situations, fencing should comprise typical construction fencing panels attached to scaffold poles driven vertically into the ground. For particular areas where construction activity is anticipated to be of a more intense nature, supporting struts, acting as a brace should be added and fixed into position through the application of metal pins driven into the ground to offer additional resistance against impacts. Where site circumstances and the risk to retained trees do not necessitate the default level of protection an alternative will be specified appropriate to the level / nature of anticipated construction activity. The recommended methods of fencing specifications for this site have been illustrated in Appendix B.
- 5.9 It may be appropriate on some sites to use temporary site offices, hoardings and lower level barrier protection as components of the tree protection barriers. Details of the specific protection barriers for the site can be provided should the application be approved, as part of a site specific Arboricultural Method Statement for a Reserved Matters application and in accordance with the guidance contained within BS5837.

Ground Protection

- 5.10 Where it has been agreed, construction access may take place within Root Protection Areas if suitable ground protection measures are in place. Guidance on examples of appropriate ground protection for several different scenarios is provided in section 6.2.3 of BS5837. The location of and design for temporary ground protection should be detailed as part of an Arboricultural Method Statement required by conditioning should planning permission be granted. In all cases, the objective is to avoid compaction of the soil which can arise from a single passage of a heavy vehicle, especially in wet conditions, so that tree root functions remain unimpaired.

Protection outside the exclusion zone

- 5.11 Once the areas around trees have been protected by the barriers, any works on the remaining site area may be commenced providing activities do not impinge on protected areas.
- 5.12 All weather notices should be attached to the protective fencing to indicate that construction activities are not permitted within the fenced area. The area within the protective barriers will then remain a construction exclusion zone throughout the duration of the construction phase of the proposed development. Protection fencing signs can be provided upon request.
- 5.13 Wide or tall loads etc should not come into contact with retained trees. Banksman should supervise transit of vehicles where they are in close proximity to retained trees.
- 5.14 Oil, bitumen, cement or other material that is potentially injurious to trees should not be stacked or discharged within 10m of a tree stem. No concrete should be mixed within 10m of a tree. Allowance should be made for the slope of ground to prevent materials running towards the tree.

- 5.15 No fires will be lit where flames are anticipated to extend to within 5m of tree foliage, branches or trunk, taking into consideration wind direction and size of fire.
- 5.16 Notice boards, telephone cables or other services should not be attached to any part of a retained tree.
- 5.17 Any trees which need to be felled adjacent to or are present within a continuous canopy of retained trees, must be removed with due care (it may be necessary to remove such trees in sections).

Protection of Trees Close to the Site


- 5.18 A number of trees were located on the boundaries of the site and therefore the root protection area and crown spread of these trees will need to be protected in the same way as all the retained trees within the site. All trees located outside the boundaries of the assessment site yet within close proximity to works should be adequately protected during the course of the development by barriers or ground protection around the calculated root protection area.
- 5.19 Any trees which are to be retained and whose Root Protection Areas may be affected by the development should be monitored, during and after construction, to identify any alterations in quality with time and to assess and undertake any remedial works required as a result.

Protection for Aerial Parts of Retained Trees

- 5.20 Where it is deemed necessary to operate a wide or tall load, plant bearing booms, jibs and counterweights or other such equipment as part of the construction works it is best advised that appropriate, but limited tree surgery, be carried out beforehand to remove any obstructive branches. Any such equipment would have potential to cause damage to parts of the crown material, i.e. low branches and limbs, of retained trees within the protective barriers. This is termed as 'access facilitation pruning' within BS5837. Any such pruning should be undertaken in accordance with a specification prepared by an arboriculturalist.
- 5.21 A pre-commencement site meeting with contractors who are responsible for operating machinery will be required, as described above, to firstly highlight the potential for damage occurring to tree crowns and to ensure that extra care is applied when manoeuvring machinery during such operations within close proximity to retained trees to avoid any contact.
- 5.22 In the event of having caused any branch or limb damage to retained trees it is strongly recommended that suitable tree surgery be carried out, in accordance with British Standard 3998:2010 and in agreement with the Local Planning Authority prior to correcting the damage, upon completion of development.

6.0 CONCLUSION

- 6.1 The proposals are currently in outline and therefore further consideration of the relationship between the existing tree cover and the development will be required to ensure a harmonious relationship is achieved. Based upon the current proposals however, all of the existing tree cover will be retained, incorporated and enhanced through new planting that will complement the current vegetation and increase the net canopy cover considerably.
- 6.2 On balance, the proposals should be considered a significant improvement on the current situation in terms of Arboriculture which will not only increase tree cover but will also provide greater habitat biodiversity and landscape screening between the proposed development and surrounding landscape.



KEY



Assessment Boundary

rev	date	description	by
-----	------	-------------	----



masterplanning ■
 environmental assessment ■
 landscape design ■
 urban design ■
 ecology ■ FPCR Environment and Design Ltd
 architecture ■ Lockington Hall
 arboriculture ■ Lockington
 Derby DE74 2RH

t: 01509 672772
 f: 01509 674565
 e: mail@fpcr.co.uk
 w: www.fpcr.co.uk

client
Cambridgeshire County Council

project
Cambridge Biomedical Campus
Cambridge

ASSESSMENT BOUNDARY PLAN FIGURE 1

scale
1:25000 @ A3

drawn
DS


date
Date 2016

drawing number

7307-A-01

rev

-





-	20.06.16	First Issue	DS
-	20.09.16	Second Issue	DS
rev	date	description	by

masterplanning • environmental assessment •
landscape design • PPCR Environment and Design Ltd
urban design • ecology • Lockington Hall
architecture • Lockington
arboriculture • Derby DE74 2RH

t: 01509 672772
f: 01509 674565
e: mail@fpcr.co.uk
w: www.fpcr.co.uk

client
Cambridgeshire County Council

project
Cambridge Biomedical Campus
Cambridge

drawing title
TREE RETENTION PLAN
FIGURE

scale
1:2000 @ A3

drawn
DS

date
September 2016

drawing number
7307-A-03

A

Appendix A - Tree Schedule

Measurements	Age Class	Overall Condition	Root Protection Area (RPA)
Height - Measured using a digital laser clinometer (m)	YNG: Young trees up to ten years of age	G - Good: Trees with only a few minor defects and in good overall health needing little, if any attention	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The RPA Radius column provides the extent of an equivalent circle from the centre of the stem (m). The RPA is calculated using the formulae described in paragraph 4.6.1 of British Standard 5837: 2012 and is indicative of the rooting area required for a tree to be successfully retained. Tree roots extend beyond the calculated RPA in many cases and where possible a greater distance should be protected. Where veteran trees have been identified the RPA has been calculated in accordance with Natural England guidance i.e. 15x the stem diameter, uncapped
Stem Dia. - Diameter measured (mm) in accordance with Annex C of the BS5837	SM: Semi-mature trees less than 1/3 life expectancy	F - Fair: Trees with minor rectifiable defects or in the early stages of stress from which it may recover	
Crown Radius - Measured using a digital laser clinometer radially from the main stem (m)	EM: Early mature trees 1/3 – 2/3 life expectancy	P - Poor: Trees with major structural and/or physiological defects such that it is unlikely the tree will recover in the long term	
Abbreviations est - Estimated stem diameter avg - Average stem diameter for multiple stems upto - Maximum stem diameter of a group	M: Mature trees over 2/3 life expectancy	D - Dead: This could also apply to trees in an advanced state of decline and unlikely to recover	
	OM: Over mature declining or moribund trees of low vigour	The BS category particular consideration has been given to the following <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The health, vigour and condition of each tree The presence of any structural defects in each tree/group and its future life expectancy The size and form of each tree/group and its suitability within the context of a proposed development The location of each tree relative to existing site features e.g. its screening value or landscape features Age class and life expectancy 	
	V: Veteran tree possessing certain attributes relating to veteran trees		

Structural Condition
The following is an example of considerations when inspecting structural condition: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The presence of fungal fruiting bodies around the base of the tree or on the stem, as they could possibly indicate the presence of possible internal decay Soil cracks and any heaving of the soil around the base Any abrupt bends in branches and limbs resulting from past pruning Tight or weak 'V' shaped forks and co-dominant stems Hazard beam formations and other such biomechanical related defects (as described by Claus Mattheck, Body Language of Trees HMSO Research for Amenity Trees No. 4 1994) Cavities as a result of limb losses or past pruning Broken branches or storm damage Damage to roots Basal, stem or branch / limb cavities Crown die-back or abnormal foliage size and colour



Quality Assessment of BS Category
Category U - Trees in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years.
Category A - Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years.
Category B - Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years.
Category C - Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm.
Sub-categories: (i) - Mainly arboricultural value (ii) - Mainly landscape value (iii) - Mainly cultural or conservation value

Appendix Summary

	Individual Trees	Totals	Tree Groups and Hedgerows	Totals	
Category U		0		0	
Category A		0		0	
Category B		0	G1, H1	2	
Category C		1	H2	1	
	Total	1		Total	3

BS Category Tree Type Distribution displays the proportion of trees assessed in each type to enable a better understanding of the category distribution.


Age Distribution of Tree Stock shows the number of trees in each age category across the tree stock allowing assessment of their longevity to be made.



Tree No	Species	Height	Stem Dia.	Crown Radius	Age Class	Overall Condition	Structural Condition	RPA	RPA Radius	BS5837 Cat
INDIVIDUAL TREES										
T1	Ash <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	8	230	4.5	SM	F	No major defects were noted Typical crown form Low crown break	24	2.8	C (i)


Group No	Species	Height	Stem Dia.	Crown Radius	Age Class	Overall Condition	Structural Condition	RPA	RPA Radius	BS5837 Cat
GROUPS OF TREES										
G1	Ash Fraxinus excelsior Blackthorn Prunus spinosa Field Maple Acer campestre Hawthorn Crataegus monogyna Damson Prunus insititia Dogwood Cornus sanguinea	15	upto 330	4	Yng / SM / M	G	Dense ivy cover on main stem Dense undergrowth at the base Minor dead wood evident in the crown (<75mm) Outgrown hedgerow Pruning wounds noted Outgrown southern boundary hedgerow Maintained to the north of the crowns through flail mower	49	4.0	B (ii)

Hedge No	Species	Height	Stem Dia.	Crown Radius	Age Class	Overall Condition	Structural Condition	RPA	RPA Radius	BS5837 Cat
HEDGEROWS										
H1	Ash Fraxinus excelsior Blackthorn Prunus spinosa Field Maple Acer campestre Hawthorn Crataegus monogyna Dogwood Cornus sanguinea	3	upto 80 50 70	1.5	SM	G	Maintained hedgerow Typical crown form Predominantly dogwood	6	1.4	B (ii)
H2	Elder Sambucus nigra Hawthorn Crataegus monogyna	6.5	110 120 80 60	2	EM / M	F	Outgrown hedgerow Typical crown form	17	2.3	C (ii)



Standard specification for protective barrier

1. Standard scaffold poles
2. Heavy gauge 2m tall galvanized tube and welded mesh infill panels
3. Panels secured to scaffold frame with wire ties
4. Ground level
5. Uprights driven into the ground until secure (min depth of 0.6m)
6. Standard scaffold clamps
7. Construction Exclusion Zone signs



Above ground stabilising systems

1. Stabiliser strut with base plate secured with ground pins
2. Feet blocks secured with ground pins
3. Construction Exclusion Zone signs

Protective Fencing to be positioned to the specified dimensions in accordance with Figure 3 Tree Retention Plan

NOTES

This drawing is the property of FPCR Environment and Design Ltd and is issued on the condition it is not reproduced, retained or disclosed to any unauthorised person, either wholly or in part with written consent of FPCR Environment and Design Ltd.



masterplanning ■
environmental assessment ■
landscape design ■
urban design ■ FPCR Environment and Design Ltd
ecology ■ Lockington Hall
architecture ■ Lockington
arboriculture ■ Derby DE74 2RH

t: 01509 672772
f: 01509 674565
e: mail@fpco.co.uk
w: www.fpco.co.uk

APPENDIX B PROTECTIVE FENCING SPECIFICATIONS